LUCC overturns weekend's decisions

By Kristin Kuenzerck
Lawrentian Staff

An emergency meeting of LUCC was requested yesterday to hear and vote upon a grievance which called for the declara­tion of the LUCC actions of Sunday, May 15, 1988, as null and void.

Prior to the LUCC meeting, the grievance had gone through the first stage of arbitration, informal discussion of the grievance with an arbitrator, Dean Hinkle, and the two parties concerned.

The grievance was represented by Sally Immel, Kristina Braddock, and Kristin Hallinger during informal arbitration. At a LUCC discussion of the motion to rescind actions, Kristen Albino­son, LUCC President, resigned her gavel, and her control over the meeting, to Vice­President Pat Paul Alex. Alex stated that the informal arbitration resulted in a six-point agreement by LUCC:

1) that LUCC was not the proper venue for hearing the appeal of the Yuai Com­munity.

2) that the legislation of Sunday, May 15, 1988, has no standing.

3) that the Yuai Community received an apology from the LUCC.

4) that an apology by LUCC be printed in The Lawrencean.

5) that the Housing Committee members that had resigned after the May 15 meeting be reinstated, and

6) that a member of the party filing grievances be given a chance to speak at the LUCC meeting.

After statements by Mike Halfenger during informal arbitration, President Paul Alex stated that the informal arbitration resulted in a six-point agreement by LUCC:

1) that LUCC was not the proper venue for hearing the appeal of the Yuai Com­munity.

2) that the legislation of Sunday, May 15, 1988, has no standing.

3) that the Yuai Community received an apology from the LUCC.

4) that an apology by LUCC be printed in The Lawrencean.

5) that the Housing Committee members that had resigned after the May 15 meeting be reinstated, and

6) that a member of the party filing grievances be given a chance to speak at the LUCC meeting.

The appeal hearing, held in Youngchild 161, began with an explanation of the format the meeting was to follow by LUCC President Kristin Albino­son. Albino­son also a member of the Yuai Com­munity, then stepped down as Presi­dent for this meeting and handed the gavel to LUCC Vice­President Paul Alex.

The Yuai charged that the Theme House Advi­sory Committee (THAC) exercised “poor judg­ment in their decision to select the Yuai Community for theme housing.”

The charge also stated that THAC violated the procedure established by LUCC for the selec­tion of theme house proposals. The Yuai Community and THAC both had 45 minutes to present their respective cases, call witnesses, present ev­i­dence.

LUCC offers apology

To the Lawrence Community:

We, the members and officers of the Lawrence University Community Council, formally apologise for the actions taken on Sunday, May 15, 1988. On Thursday, May 19, 1988, the council declared those actions null and void.

Our actions, both on Thursday, May 12, 1988 and on the aforementioned Sunday, resulted in the unnecessary delay of the housing process.

We offer even greater apologies to those individuals who were obligated to participate in the processes of May 15, 1988.

Lawrence University
Community Council
May 19, 1988

---

Palestine-Israel Forum views Middle East

By Susan Duncan
Lawrentian Staff

The Palestinian-Israeli Conflict Forum, planned for May 26 and 27, is be­ing targeted to enlighten people about the reasons behind the fighting and to challenge existing mis­conceptions.

Bill Miller, head of the LU Forum Committee, said, “The Middle East is not simply a place of fanati­cism. It is a place of history, a place of love and hate, and a place of people who love their land.”

The forum will be held on two consecutive nights, beginning Thursday, May 26, with Dr. Peter Bechtold, chairman of the Near East and North Africa Foreign Studies Institute in Wash­ington, D.C., who will explain the history of Lawrencian college student who was imprisoned for a time, will describe life under Israeli occupation.

May 27 Marwan El­lahet, editor-in-chief for the Middle East Weekly, and Zei Barel, Washing­ton correspondent for Haaretz magazine, will lead the discussion.

---

Charges are heard in Yuai appeal

By Kris Howard
and Kim Weil
Lawrentian Staff

On Sunday, May 15, the Lawrence University Community Council heard an appeal of the Yuai Community concerning theme house assign­ments for the 1988­89 year.

The appeal was submitted at the regular LUCC meeting on May 12. The May 5 meeting was rescheduled because the group did not have a quorum.

The appeal hearing, held in Youngchild 161, began with an explanation of the format the meeting was to follow by LUCC President Kristin Albino­son. Albino­son also a member of the Yuai Com­munity, then stepped down as Presi­dent for this meeting and handed the gavel to LUCC Vice­President Paul Alex.

The Yuai charged that the Theme House Advi­sory Committee (THAC) exercised “poor judg­ment in their decision not to select the Yuai Community for theme housing.”

The charge also stated that THAC violated the procedure established by LUCC for the selec­tion of theme house proposals. The Yuai Community and THAC both had 45 minutes to present their respective cases, call witnesses, present ev­i­dence.

---

Breunig to speak at Honors Day

Charles Breunig, professor emeritus of history, will discuss “A Revolutionary Lawrence President: Henry Merritt Wriston” at Lawrence University’s annual Honors Convocation on Tuesday, May 24, at 11:10 a.m. in the Lawrence Chapel.

Breunig, who retired in 1986 after a 31 year career at Lawrence earned B.A., M.A., and Ph.D. degrees in his­tory from Harvard University. In 1950, he was awarded a Fulbright fellowship for study in France, and he later received a Sheldon Traveling Fellowship to Mexico.

Before joining the Lawrence faculty in 1956, he taught for a short time at Wesleyan University in Connecticut.

During his tenure at Lawrence, Breunig chaired several major committees, was elected by the faculty to serve on two presidential selection committees, and, in 1955, received the coveted Excellence in Teaching Award.

Author of numerous articles and reviews in promi­nent scholarly journals, Breunig has also published a widely used textbook titled Revolution and Reaction 1789­1850, one of six volumes in the Norton History of Modern Europe series.

He is a member of the American Historical As­sociation, the Society for French Historical Studies, and the American Association of University Profes­sors, and he is listed in the Directory of American Scholars.

Since his retirement, Breunig has been researching the history of Lawrence with plans to publish a book on the subject.

His convocation address will be based on that re­search, specifically on his study of Henry Merritt Wriston, who served as Lawrence’s eighth president from 1925 until 1937.

---

Trustees meet in new setting

The Lawrence Board of Trustees held its third meeting of the 1987-88 year last week, and ac­cording to President Richard Warch, the Board included a twist in the annual spring meeting.

A trip to Kohler was planned this year for the first time to give the trustees a chance to step away from the campus setting and evaluate the University more objec­tively.

John Chancellor, President of the American Association of Colleges in Washington, D.C., and Warch’s former professor at Williams College in Massachusetts, served as guest speaker for the out­side trip.

Warch said the trustees arrived on campus Thurs­day and Friday for meet­ings, then held an ex­panded meeting which in­volved taking no action at Kohler.

“We met to reflect the situation of the college and step back from the..."
In the end, LUCC had only one realistic option

Regardless of any argument concerning the LUCC action on Sunday, May 15, the majority of students were waiting to react because of the 1986-87 academic year. LUCC's action to reject the motions it approved on Sunday, May 15, was the clearly the most appropriate approach to the situation.

Consider the specific: LUCC acted irresponsibly and without legislative basis for its actions on May 12 and 15. Although it would not be difficult to prove that the May 15 hearing should not have taken place, such a determination would not have any mitigating solution to the real problem.

The only approach to the situation would be for LUCC to address the deficiencies in the theme house legislation, rewrite the evaluation process, and begin the entire evaluation procedure again.

Realistic considerations of the situation, however, reveal the impossibilities of this option. Time considerations make it impractical that revisions in theme house legislation, the creation of a new evaluation procedure, the appointment of a new housing committee, and the coordination of these elements could be accomplished before students leave campus.

The unrealistic expectations were realistic, the Theme House Advisory Committee would ultimately be faced with the decision of assigning or denying a house for the Yuais. Regardless of all attempts at objectivity, the decision made by students on May 15 was simply based on the facts that had been revealed concerning the charges brought by the Yuais.

Based on the facts which were revealed in the evaluation procedure, the other two theme proposals which were originally denied a house should have been given the same consideration as the Yuais. Instead, LUCC implied on May 12 that the action taken on the theme House Advisory Committee evaluation, they should be allowed to use the power it has been granted to use the power it has been granted. Thus, this option concludes with the argument that the faculty committee must be corrected by an entire re-evaluation of all the theme proposals.

The remaining conclusion was to strike all LUCC actions which involved the appeal by the Yuais and to re-evaluate the decision.

Clear, not everyone involved can benefit from any proposed solution—at least not this term. Choices had to be made, however, LUCC legislation states, "All students contracting for housing shall be notified in writing of their housing assignment for full term prior to leaving for summer vacation." This was obviously true. Although a magical solution was not expected, some solution was necessary. It was expected by all the Yuais that the process involved look beyond their individual interests to consider the housing needs of the entire student body.

The Lawrentian

The Lawrentian is published every Friday except for the first and last weeks of the ten-week academic term. It is written and edited by students at Lawrence University who are solely responsible for its editorial policy and content.

Letters to the editor are welcome and encouraged. No letter can be printed unless it is legibly signed by the author with his or her campus address. Names can be withheld upon request. We reserve the right to edit letters when necessary for space restrictions. Letters must be submitted by 5:00 p.m. on the Wednesdays at the Infolab Desk in the Student Union.
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The Lawrentian presents frustration

Former LUCC president expresses frustration

To the editor;

I am writing to express my frustration concerning the recent events transpiring in LUCC involving the Theme House Advisory Committee (THAC) and the Yuali Community. It is my belief that the actions of the THAC have been biased, and that LUCC has acted irresponsibly.

It is believed by the THAC that the Yuais were ranked fifth on Sunday, May 15, that because the Yuais were ranked fifth on this date, they should be given a house. This belief is not supported by LUCC's theme house legislation which states, "The "hearing" which took place between the Yuais and the THAC should have been granted.

I make this claim from the lines of argument: 1) There is already a procedure in place for appealing housing decisions. In considering the rules, LUCC usurped power which it did not have. 2) In holding a hearing to review the actions of a committee, LUCC has made it clear that the committee system is meaning less as long as the current council and cabinet continue to act as they did on Sunday.

At any point in which LUCC disallows the decisions of a committee, there is the threat of overturning that decision. Why have a committee system if it is not going to be allowed to use the power it has been granted?

LUCC is a legislative body. The hearing which took place Sunday, May 15, was, without question, conducted in the manner of a judicial hearing.

Lawrence Legislator

Lawrence, Wis.

Hardin went too far in considerations at the Harkins Symposium Convocation

To the editor:

In fact, tragedy turns to triumph by the motto "better in the long run to let a few people die, presumably to affirm the death penalty for transgressing the commandments. Thou shall not kill," in the manner of a judicial hearing.

Legislators were asked to make a judicial decision with no training, experience or the advice of an experienced judicial advisor such as Dean Lauter.

Some members of LUCC, including President Albenson, presented four basic arguments in favor of the hearing: 1) A faculty representative, Professor Frew-Gutfield, argued that it would be unfair to the Yuais to be denied a house when the hearing was heard. 2) They claimed that THAC was a subordinate body and that LUCC could take back the power that it had given it if THAC made a poor decision.

As I have argued, this undermines the credibility of the committee system.

I argue that this is beyond the scope of the judicial board. Why not let the Judicial Board decide what is or is not under its jurisdiction? It has the experience to decide whether or not this kind of case is consistent with the type of case it typically hears.

To the last argument, which is the most ludicrous, what are the lessons to be learned from this mess? It is important for the leader of an institution to be able to divorce himself from his or her own special interests, and the community at large.

The president must be a strong leader who can suggest, lead, influence, and steer LUCC on the right course.

Hopefully, Ms. Albenson will be able to do this in the future.

I do not intend any of these comments to be personal, and clearly not every member of LUCC is at fault.

But my concern is in the interest of the institution of LUCC. It is based on two years on LUCC as both a representative and as the President, and it disgusts me in its strength, character, and integrity.

Sincerely,

J. Adam Speer

Alan E. Parks

In sympathy, perhaps Mr. Leopold's solution to deal with overpopulation could be implemented—dying of a gmo's wound, although regrettable, is far less pleasant than starving to death.
Opinion

Shooting from the Hippocampus

By Melanie Perreault

When doing housing, it seems you are often out of your mind. The pressure and energy required to do this task is overwhelming. However, it is important to remember that this problem is not unique to Lawrence University. Many other institutions face similar issues in their housing processes and it is important to not feel alone in this struggle.

In anticipation of massive waves of sorrow after my dissertation declaration, I cannot join LUCC, I decided to draw up a few suggestions for the Housing Committee.

1. Each member of LUCC should be required to purchase “Robber’s Rules of Order.” Some of them might even read it.

2. The name of the organization should be changed to Lawrence United Community Council since when the president jumps to his death, the representatives blindly follow. We shouldn’t even have to change any letterheads, but the true nature of the organization should be contained in the name.

Metaphors of Honor should be issued to the members of the Housing Committee, who were the only ones to recognize the hearing for what it was – a blatant slap in the face to the LUCC community.

The Committee made its decision, reviewed it, and decided not to fall victim to the whims of a particular interest group.

In the past, LUCC members were given an honorarium to spend as they pleased. This practice should be started again, and the money used to hire an astrologer to settle the housing dispute. Perhaps Joan Quigley would be interested.

5. LUCC should look into the possibility of hiring Ed Meese to draw up policy. He would fit right in with the current policy makers, and I hear he might be in need of a job very soon.

I hope these suggestions will be seriously considered since they can’t possibly make the situation any more ridiculous than it already is.

One more word of caution to LUCC members: it looks like a lemming, smells like a lemming, acts like a lemming, and jumps, it probably is a lemming.

Housing Committee comments on resignation

To the editor:

We, the undersigned and members of the Housing Committee, would like to speak about our resignation, which occurred in the final stage of the LUCC meeting on Sunday, May 3rd.

To begin, we were appointed to the Lawrentian, the Associate Dean of Students for Lawrentian, and the Associate Dean of Students for Residence.

We met nearly every week of the academic year. We invited students to attend meetings in Room 1 through notices in The Lawrentian. Meetings were open and personal, and through personal interactions, we observed collaborative leadership and engaged student debate.

Unfortunately, the limits of time prevented us from making a difference, but don’t fret!

We would like to extend our gratitude to Chris Meese, who handled it better, why don’t you do the same?

We commend the decision by the President to hire an astrologer to settle the housing dispute. Perhaps Joan Quigley would be interested.

We hope these suggestions will be seriously considered since they can’t possibly make the situation any more absurd.

One more word of caution to LUCC members: it looks like a lemming, smells like a lemming, acts like a lemming, and jumps, it probably is a lemming.

Lucc actions were wrong

To the editor:

Again the Lawrence Community has been slugged across the face by its governing body. LUCC, I am sure by now we all know about the recent controversy sparked by the Yuai community.

It seems that LUCC has yet to understand that committees they be in are not to be adversarial, but are to work together for the good of the Lawrence community.

Therefore, I would like to express my concern when an appointed group makes an informed decision about a subject of specialty it is

Treatments at Yuai appeal is called into question

To the editor:

I feel I was treated unfairly in the “hearing” held by LUCC to hear the Yuai Community appeal of the Theme Housing Advisory Committee’s decision on theme houses.

Steve Troubleshoot quoted me several times. I wonder why he didn’t call me as a witness to allow me to tell you what I said, rather than telling you second or third hand.

He was not present at the Theme House Advisory Committee meeting when I made the statements he was referring to. Otherwise, I would be a better spokesperson of what I said. And why didn’t any LUCC member question his statements? Most of them know me and know that I am there.

Just in the record straight, I would like to remind you to know that the statement, which went something like this, “This sounds like the same proposal we saw in fall,” was referring to the first four pages of their proposal.

In those first four pages they took out nine sentences and added ten sentences and a few phrases.

Thanks to Celebrate! Committee

To the editor:

Thank you for all you did to make Celebrate a success. You did a wonderful job and then to be told you cry loud enough you will be heard is not only discouraging but terrifying.

I think my statement was cut off. I was not said to condemn the proposal in any way, and it was not made as an announcement to the other committees.

Each member of any selection committee has opinions. We used the committee format where each of us had one equal vote to choose theme house proposals so that none of us would be more powerful, or more responsible, than the others.

I would like to affirm again, that I, as part of the Theme House Advisory Committee, voted to the best of my ability on my committee decisions and I still support those decisions.

For a group that claims tolerance, I am very disappointed in the treatment of my concerns. Only a small portion of the Lawrence community received for the statement, which went something like this, “I personally recognized the proposal, and therefore I personally recognized no reason for the proposal”

I feel I was treated unfairly in the “hearing” held by LUCC to hear the Yuai Community appeal of the Theme Housing Advisory Committee’s decision on theme houses.

Steve Troubleshoot quoted me several times. I wonder why he didn’t call me as a witness to allow me to tell you what I said, rather than telling you second or third hand.

He was not present at the Theme House Advisory Committee meeting when I made the statements he was referring to. Otherwise, I would be a better spokesperson of what I said. And why didn’t any LUCC member question his statements? Most of them know me and know that I was there.

Just in the record straight, I would like to remind you to know that the statement, which went something like this, “This sounds like the same proposal we saw in fall,” was referring to the first four pages of their proposal.

In those first four pages they took out nine sentences and added ten sentences and a few phrases.

Thanks to Celebrate! Committee

To the editor:

Two recent issues of The Lawrentian contained letters which addressed the issue of spandex pants, specifically the problems created by wearing such attire in the library. This letter does not concern itself with the division which went some four pages, I have mentioned, rather a few phrases is a more complete history of the problems created by wearing such attire in the library.

The Lawrentian’s opinion page was battleground for the LUCC community. At the time, the LUCC community was in the midst of a four hour meeting, and through personal interaction and appreciation to Chris Meese, we hoped to accomplish by printing such letter,

I hope these suggestions will be seriously considered since they can’t possibly make the situation any more absurd.

One more word of caution to LUCC members: it looks like a lemming, smells like a lemming, acts like a lemming, and jumps, it probably is a lemming.

Lucc actions were wrong

To the editor:

The Lawrentian hoped to accomplishing by printing such letter, “options” whether they were personally or on a bathroom wall than in a college newspaper. The Lawrentian community believes that the proposals supposedly improve the quality of the newspaper, by printing such kind of letters, The Lawrentian managed to not only degrade itself but also to insult its readers.

I believe that The Lawrentian could become like other college newspapers a forum for both student and national issues.

If it is the goal of The Lawrentian to raise itself up from the sick of petty personal to become such an informative and noble I hope intelligent forum, then its editors had better reevaluate the quality of their selections.

Andrew Tipton

For the first several weeks of term III, The Laureuriens opinion page was battleground for the Greek controversy. To the Editor, Matties and Mr. Miller offered a refreshing change. Social? That’s counting both letters too seriously. We respect and encourage student opinion - the editors
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The criteria set by LUCC was not only met by THAC, but also by other committees. The criterion added the ability to fill the house was a given. When doing housing, it is an assumption that students are trusting you.

We are always honest, humble, and foggy. We are not the best at housing on campus as satisfactory as possible.

No one has any excuse for being uninformed regarding the creation and purpose of THAC or the method of operation.
Basis of appeal 'precedent' examined

By Kris Howard
and Mark Niquette
Lawrentian Staff

One of the most misunderstood elements of the Yuai appeal/LUCC housing question has been the issue of "the precedent.

On Sunday, May 15, the Lawrence University Community Council voted after discussion, to hear the appeal of the Yuai Community concerning the proposed Theme House assignments for the 1986-89 school year.

One of the arguments used to justify the validity of the hearing was an incident which prompted an emergency LUCC meeting on June 6, 1982.

It was argued that this meeting created a precedent for LUCC to hear an appeal regarding the actions of an LUCC committee.

According to the Yuai appeal, "on that day, LUCC deemed poor judgement on the part of the Publications Board in that board's selection of editors for The Lawrenceian consequently, LUCC overturned the decision of the Publications Board."

An exhaustive search of University archives, Dean of Students files, and LUCC records has uncovered several documents which pertain to the case in question.

On June 2, 1982, Sara Lawmann, LUCC President, called an emergency meeting for June 6.

The Publications Board, a standing committee defined by LUCC by-laws as a group which oversees student publications and appoints editors, had recommended that Ann Binder and Jim Olakie be appointed Lawrence editors for the fall term of 1982.

Two former Lawrence staff members, Rick Moser and Amy Teschner, apparently appealed the decision and prompted the LUCC action.

In a letter dated June 3, 1982, Moser explained that his application for the position was submitted "hastily and perfunctorily" and did not reflect his qualifications and ability as an editor; he had been editor-in-chief for a term and held several other editorial positions.

In an undated letter, Teschner echoed Moser's claims and cited her own experience on the newspaper staff. She said "We are the only candidates who already have the experience and dedication essential to editing a high quality paper."

LUCC met on June 6 and discussed a resolution which proposed that, because Teschner and Moser were highly qualified, they should be appointed co-editors.

The resolution was passed 7-3, with 2 abstentions. Thus, the Publications Board's appeal was justified.

CEC 'Streetdance' set

By Tom Kraemer
Lawrentian Staff

"A Genuine Wisconsin Streetdance," the Campus Events Committee's (CEC) first outdoor event, co-sponsored by the Alcohol and Drug Committee will happen tonight, May 20th, from 9 p.m. to 1 a.m.

Plain Dirt Fashun will perform live in the Main Hall courtyard, and will play 50's and 60's rock, country and bluegrass music.

Incidentally, the streetdance is not on a street, but near a street, next to some shrubbery," CEC Human Resources Chairperson Decky Alexander said.

Alexander also mentioned a further advantage to the setting: there will be no looking both ways before the start of each dance.

The rain site is at the Riverview Lounge.

For a lifetime of congratulations.

Cross your graduate the gift that will be cherished forever. All Cross writing instruments have a lifetime mechanical guarantee.
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Hours: M-F 8:30-5:00, Sat. 8:30-12:00

Phone: 715-834-1223

CONKEY'S
226 E. College Ave.
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Alumni Board revitalizes Class Colors

By Carole Wolsey
Spring class to the Lawrentian

Class Colors. What are they? At last spring’s Alumni Board meeting, discussion arose concerning class consciousness and identity on the Lawrence campus. Members shared a concern for the apparent lack of class and school bonding among current students and alumni and sought means to increase a Lawrence identity.

By reviving traditional methods of creating class awareness, it is hoped that the Lawrence community will become more unified.

Milwaukee-Downer College promised class identity through its use of colors. Each class was assigned a color: yellow, purple, red or green, as it materialized.

Through a formal ceremony in the chapel, the opening convocation of the class of ’88 would return to campus and hand the class colors down to the incoming freshman.

Each class of women could be identified by color coordinated blazers which were worn to convocations and such. After Milwaukee-Downer merged with Lawrence College the tradition was dropped except in the context of reunions.

For the past twelve years, these colors have appeared in banners and ribbons on the Lawrence campus for the June reunion weekends.

This year’s expansive reunion weekend will be a rainbow affair. Banners for each class will be suspended in the Buchanan Student Center as well as in the Chapel. Now, once again, the Lawrence students will enjoy class colors.

Banners have already arrived in the Alumni Office and the Class of ’88 was able to see its banner at the recent Senior Class Dinner.

The banners will serve at these four functions: 1) the opening convocation during New Student Week, 2) class dinners, 3) commencement, and 4) class reunions.

What are our colors? The class of ’88 is yellow, ’89 is purple, ’90 is red, and ’91 is green. As has been done traditionally the class of ’88 will hang its colors down to next year’s freshman, the class of 1992.

It is expected that each class will have input on how to use its colors throughout its years on the Lawrence campus and into the reunion years.

Our dear old Blue and White has opened up a rainbow of identity!

---
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Study reveals LU economic impact

The annual economic impact of Lawrence University in Wisconsin exceeds $114.9 million, according to a study released today by Technical Systems, Inc., a St. Louis-based economic research group.

As an economic entity, Lawrence generated more than 1,830 jobs through direct employment and through indirect effects of university-related business activities.

The annual $114.9 million economic impact includes $463.4 million in expenditures by the university, its employees, students, and visitors; $43.2 million in income earned by the 6,175 alumni living in the state; and $83.5 million in expansion of the credit base through employee, student, and university deposits in Wisconsin financial institutions and demand deposits maintained by businesses to support university-related work.

The university itself is responsible for circulation of more than $22.2 million in Wisconsin’s economy annually and, since 1977, has spent more than $10 million on construction and renovation.

Lawrence president Richard Warch, responding to the study, said, “While Lawrence’s economic impact is noteworthy, its most important contributions to the Fox Valley and the state are its well-educated graduates, their values, and their commitment to service in the community. We are also proud of our participation in the area’s cultural diversity.”

---

PLAZA

BARBER SHOP

3 BARBER STYLISTS

- HAIR CUTTING
- HAIR STYLING
- HAIR PIECES
- HAIR COLORING

We also provide a range of services to meet your needs.

---

A Great Place to Eat and Drink

Luncheon

Monday - Saturday 11-2

Dinner

Monday - Thursday 5-9
Friday & Saturday 5-10

Ask about our Catering Services

Dedrick Room Available for Private Parties

---

Inner Mongolia

Lounge

LIVE JAZZ
FRIDAY, MAY 29, 9:30 p.m. - 2:00 a.m.

Featuring

The JACK GRASSEL TRIO

With Hans Sturm of the BONGO'S

231 E. Joint Street, Downtown Appleton, 734-8004

Enjoying your favorite, with a twist of our specialty, a free drink.

---

SHANNON OFFICE SUPPLY CO.

Downtown Appleton

350-302 College Ave.

Enjoy Class Colors...
Choir concert to cover 'Spectrum' of emotion

Music can move a listener to joy, to despair, to excitement, to calm—to all points on the emotional spectrum.

In a special spring concert titled "Spectrum," the Lawrence University Concert choir and Chamber Singers, directed by Richard Bjella, will touch audience emotions with music of many moods on Sunday, May 22, at 8 p.m. in the Lawrence Chapel.

The concert will be taped for subsequent broadcast by Wisconsin Public Radio.

Opening quietly with "Der Geshii Hift Unserer Schauschelheit Aaf," the choir will move through the romantic passion of "Piango," the joy and spongyness of Herbert Howells's "Magnificat" and "Swacht Auf, Der Gels! Hilt Ft Unsere," the romantic passion of Henryj Nowens, and the drama of Lili Eijoulanger's "Hyemne Au Soleil."

Senior Paul Pappas and freshman Elizabeth Justice will serve as accompanists, and Schumann will conduct the choir in its performance of "Rainbow."

Senior Lisa Stachowicz will perform as soloist in "Hyemne Au Soleil."

LU Jazz Lab Band to play 'April in Paris'

It may be a bit late for "April in Paris," but the Lawrence University Jazz Lab Band will play it anyway in a concert with the Lawrence Symphonic Band on May 20, at 8 p.m. in the Lawrence Chapel.

Lab band director Fred Sturm and Symphonic Band director Nicholas Keelan will create a blend of jazz and symphonic sounds in a special spring concert that will feature music for combined jazz ensemble and symphonic band.

The first half of the program will showcase the Lab Band and student conductor Rose Brzezinski opening with a Lemur. Students

The Lawrence Concert Choir
and Chamber Singers

"SPECTRUM"
A concert that touches emotions
from greatest despair to joyous ecstasy

Giacchino Rossini's "Guendolbert.
and the fery drama of Edi Bouldanger's "Hyemne Au Soleil.

Sturm will then be featured as euphonium soloist in Alfred Reed's "Seascape," and the concert will close with Celebration for Symphonic Band and Jazz Ensemble by William Dobbs.

On the opening of "April in Paris," the program will be launched with a performance of "Spring Can Really Hang You Up the Most," and the Lab Band will round out the first half of the program with "New Orleans Strut."

After intermission, the ensembles will combine to perform the Rhapsody for Concert Band and Jazz Ensemble by Patrick Keelan, assistant professor of music, conducts the law brass choir, organist, and university organist at Lawrence, and Eric Edberg, cello.

Senior Paul Pappas and freshman Elizabeth Justice will serve as accompanists, and Schumann will conduct the choir in its performance of "Rainbow."

Senior Lisa Stachowicz will perform as soloist in "Hyemne Au Soleil."

Auby's composition is a sensitive study of sentliability and the conflicting emotions it stirs in the family of an aging grandfather. Schumann, in her first attempt as a composer, has set three Dickinson poems to music.

In its final concert of the season, the Concert Choir will be assisted by George Edward Damp, associate professor of music and university organist at Lawrence, and Eric Edberg, cello.

Senior Paul Pappas and freshman Elizabeth Justice will serve as accompanists, and Schumann will conduct the choir in its performance of "Rainbow."

Senior Lisa Stachowicz will perform as soloist in "Hyemne Au Soleil."

Hey Everyone! It is time for the... Lawrence Triathlon at the Buchanan Kiewit Center

10 a.m., Saturday May 28, 1988
Swim 1/4 mile
Bike 10 K
Run 5 K

RULES
1) Handicap System
2) Obey ALL rules of the road
3) Entry Fee $2.00 plus $5.00 for T-shirt
4) Awards for 1st-2nd-3rd places
5) Student, faculty, staff, and Alumni classifications.

Sign Up at the Rec Center!
Women's track team steals conference show

Steve Hack
Lawrentian Staff

The Midwest Conference track meet, hosted by St. Norbert College and run on the Premontre High School track on Friday and Saturday, May 13 and 14, saw every lady Viking that participated place in at least one event. The women had their highest finish ever in the conference meet with a second place behind St. Norbert. The Viking men finished seventh.

The highlight of the meet was a 1-2 finish for Lawrence in the 400-meter dash. Amy Neubert was the champion and broke the school record with a time of 1:02.03.

Jennifer Aspen moved up on the Premontre High track meet, hosted by St. Norbert College and run with a time of 1:02.69. Aspen and Neubert were also on the school record-setting, second place finish 1600-meter relay team, along with Stephanie Breidenbach and Kristen Wubbels. Aspen and Wubbels both placed in the 200-meter dash and were on the second place 400-meter relay team with Candy Yashiro and Ellen Huber. Huber was the top point scorer for Lawrence at the meet. Besides participating in the second place 400-meter relay, Huber took second in the 100-meter hurdles, seventh in the javelin, and seventh in the long jump. Huber tied the school record in the high jump with a 5'6" and third place.

Breidenbach ran a strong 1500-meter run finishing fifth, and added an eighth in the 800-meter run.

Jill Edwards ran a fine 1500-meter run placing sixth, and came back to finish fifth in the 5000-meter run.

Beth Switzer rounded out Lawrence's distance scoring with a fifth in the 3000-meter run. Other multiple place winners were Cecilia Merritt, Stephanie Samuel, and Julie Price. Merritt took third in the javelin and sixth in the triple jump. Samuel placed second in the shot, fifth in the long jump, and seventh in the 100-meter dash. Price finished fifth in the 100-meter hurdles and seventh in the triple jump.

A lathe place in the shot put by Joan Shipley and a sixth place in the 400-meter hurdles by Heather Bush rounded out the Viking scoring.

For the men's seventh place finish, Steve Werry led the team by placing in four events. The defending MC-Champ in the long and triple jump placed second and fourth in those events, respectively, with a personal best in the long jump of 23' 3 1/2". Werry finished fourth in the 110-meter hurdles, and added an eighth in the 400-meter hurdles.

Ray Ramsey ran the 800-meter run for the first time this year and ended up placing third, with a time of 1:57.46. Ramsey was on the fifth-place 1600-meter and seventh-place 400-meter relay teams. The other runners in both these events were Steve Hack, Jeff Campbell and Jerry Davis.

Keith VanderMeulen ran a very strong 1500-meter run, earning second place with 4:08.16. VanderMeulen also placed fourth in the 5000-meter run.

Chris Nauman also ran in the 5000-meter run, placing eighth.

Marly Johnson rounded out the scoring by placing fifth in both the triple jump and javelin.

This year the men's team was plagued with injuries and illnesses all season.

Steve Hack
Lawrentian Staff

The 1988 Lawrence women’s track team was on the fifth-place team with a personal best in the附加事件

Senior Ray Ramsey powers down the homestretch during a recent 400-meter race. Ramsey was named MVP of the 1988 men’s track team. He also served as captain for the year. (Rick Peterson Photo)
Campus News

Lawrence receives grants

Lawrence University recently received major gifts from the Cray Research Foundation, Wisconsin Bell. The Cray Research Foundation of Minneapolis, Minn., has awarded Lawrence a $95,000 for the development of an algorithm visualization laboratory.

Using the graphics capabilities of Macintosh II microcomputers, the Lawrence computer science department will illustrate a data structure as it is manipulated at key stages of an algorithm. The availability of algorithm visualization tools will enable students to understand the data structure and algorithm at a conceptual level, according to John Wiesman, chairman of the Lawrance computer science department.

Cray Research, Inc. develops and markets supercomputers used by government, science, and industry throughout the world. The company carries out its philanthropic activity through the Cray Research Foundation, which provides direct grants to educational programs of organizations, particularly those with a science or engineering emphasis.

Wisconsin Bell, based in Milwaukee, has pledged $25,000 over a three-year period to Lawrence's art center campaign. Wisconsin Bell's pledge will apply to a challenge grant Lawrence recently received from the Kresge Foundation.

Lawrence has secured more than $6 million toward its goal of $8 million for the construction of the college's new art center and the creation of an art program endowment and endowed chair in art history. In order to meet the requirements of the Kresge challenge, Lawrence must raise an additional $2 million for these projects.

Appeal 'precedent'...

Continued from page 4

original appointments were overturned.

Despite the recent emphasis placed on this precedent, the proceedings of the June 6 meeting are not known.

In a memo dated June 7, 1982, John Wiesman, chairman of the Publications Board, reported to the members of the Board:

Palestine forum...

Continued from page 1

held a point/counterpoint discussion of the present conflict. Professor Doininger has agreed to be the moderator.

Miller, who stirred interest in holding the Forum through the Council on Student Concerns, says the reason for the forum is that it is a "hot issue now. More than 200 unarmed people, mostly young boys, have been killed by the Israeli government. The problem is never going to be solved if present policies there continue."

To illustrate the strong feelings behind the conflict, recently the Israeli Consulate in Chicago wrote to Miller. The consulate heard the Forum and said that it was unbalanced, wrote to the Jewish Community in Appleton asking it to "boycott the forum." Miller hopes to get a fifth speaker to balance the panel, but as yet there are no firm plans.

Trusted retreat...

Continued from page 4

business aspects," said Warch, who explaining the gather also served as a social outing as well.

Through various its committee meetings this spring, the Board of Trustees voted to approve the following: student's hiring seniors - which is subject to facility approval.

The Board also voted to approve two new investments for the Lawrence endowment: 1) in real estate and another in a Private Equity Fund. Among the other Board actions was the size of the University's endowment from $5 million from last June, raising Lawrence's current total to $68 million.

In another action, the 1988-89 operating budget was reviewed and balanced for the ninth consecutive year.

The Board also heard reports on the Art Center Construction and fund raising, and voted to expand the parking lot behind Plants to Washington and Park Street.

A call for the Administration to create a long-range plan for housing was also made.

Discussion of other long-range plans included renovation of the chapel, Youngchild and Stevenson complex, plus other facility needs.

Charges heard in Yuai appeal...

Continued from page 1

dence, and cross-examine. Mike Murphy presented the Yuai Community's opening statement depicting the 1982 precedent in which LUCC overturned the appointment of the Lawrence editor by the Publications Board.

The Yuais' first addressed the charge concerning alleged discrepancies between criteria established by LUCC or theme house proposal evaluation and the criteria actually used by THAC.

The two major discrepancies presented by the Yuais were the deletion of the criteria "program which enables personal growth." This criterion was enacted, the Yuais charged, in the LUCC legislation but was not on the "working sheet" used by THAC.

This criterion THAC chairperson Kelly Carroll explained that the committee "judged all proposals according to criteria" but stated that the Yuai proposal "just wasn't on as good." The question of whether or not THAC evaluated the validity of "community" as a theme was the subject of much debate.

LUCC also questioned THAC's consideration of the Yuai Community's membership policies. The Yuais said they were evaluated unfairly on this point, while THAC said that this question was only raised in consideration of the Yuai's interaction with the Lawrence Community.

Each group was then allowed five minutes to give a closing statement. After a dinner recess, LUCC began its deliberations.

After much discussion, the Council voted 12-2 on the charge THAC had violated LUCC guidelines for evaluation.

The council then voted 8-7 that THAC had not evaluated the Yuai proposal unfairly. The Council tied 7-7 on the vote, and Alex voted to break the tie as Chair.

LUCC proceeded to change small house legislation to allow for the creation of a fifth theme house.

The Council granted the Yuai Community one of the two allotted block houses for a theme house at 741 E. John Street. At this point, the members of the Housing Committee announced their resignation and left the meeting.

Finally, the Council voted to move all housing selection dates back one week from the original deadlines.