Ronald Reagan’s views on America, politics, his future, Lawrence—”

Regarding the state of the American economy, do you think President Ford’s policies will be effective? Reagan: I think the principal thing we need to do is to strengthen the market mechanism—the discipline of competition. There are only two ways to discipline the economy. One is competition, and the other is absolute authority in the form of government control. We don’t have either one currently. We don’t have adequate competition in either the price area or the wage area, we don’t have the discipline of absolute authority, so government control. We lack both. I think we need to deal with this development. We need a highly nationalistic basic economic policy, which will result in lower prices and a stronger dollar.

We should have controls in the following way: 1) No, not basically; I think that’s the worst alternative. I think that producing goods and offering services having to compete with each other is the way to achieve what I think is needed in the economy, which is market discipline. We need a competitive structure in the economy. I’m for breaking up monopoly concentrations that exist. I think that’s the way to have a collective bargaining area on the part of both employers and unions.

The concern for good grades in all courses could possibly add to the already intense problem of adjusting to the Lawrence environment. Some of this year’s freshmen feel the pressure would be too great. One freshman admitted, “I’d probably have worked harder for a grade, but then first term would have been awful.” Another problem is that the new grading system forces freshmen to work harder for a grade, but then first term would have been terrible. One student suggested the motivation problem would be solved by the new structure of a grade.

DO NOT SPINDLE, fold, or mutilate. Actually, it’s not the world’s largest computer memory chip. In fact, it’s not a maximum security prison, it’s not a toaster, it’s not an insane asylum, and it’s not a breadbox. Actually, it’s the new Scioy M. Luddi Library, third floor on Frank Lloyd Wright’s list of the world’s ugliest buildings. (Photo by Craig Gagnon)

DO NOT SPINDLE, fold, or mutilate. Although Black major attacks economic deprivation is not the world’s largest computer memory chip, it’s not a maximum security prison, it’s not a toaster, it’s not an insane asylum, and it’s not a breadbox. Actually, it’s the new Scioy M. Luddi Library, third floor on Frank Lloyd Wright’s list of the world’s ugliest buildings. (Photo by Craig Gagnon)
imperfect faculty

The faculty's decision last Friday to change the grading procedures for the Freshman Program gives us a chance to comment on the subject of faculty evaluation, the Freshman Seminar program in particular.

One of the reasons given for this lack of motivation was that professors had to teach Freshman Seminars, a general overview of a liberal arts education which few felt either competent, or well qualified, in teaching.

The first of these complaints was acknowledged in the philosophy of the old program. But it was seen as a challenge, not a shortcoming. The rationale was that professors in charge of studies courses would have to go outside of their field, making it a broad group of liberal arts professors.

But it didn't work. Stories among students are a dime a dozen about a St. Ignatius professor who cut a class short because he or she was neither an expert nor a fan of this author or field and had little interest in teaching. In addition to this, it is generally held that, perhaps most, professors are afraid of leading a class they don't know all of the answers, or at least more than any student.

The fear of the faculty to attack new fields is sad. Students do just that all the time, often with more success and actually in a new perspective as evidence of the danger such a venture entails. But, some professors will say, students aren't expected to be omniscient; they don't have an image to uphold. Neither should the professors. One of the reasons given for this lack of motivation was that professors had to teach Freshman Studies, a course requiring a large number of students. This is a free listing and all that is necessary is that a self-addressed stamped envelope be sent along with the request for materials.

Other problems present themselves. For one thing, the concept of community involvement in learning, one of the two underlying principles of the present program, is not really practiced in the new program. The professors will already know most of what they will say, and need not discuss these issues at all.

But other problems present themselves. For one thing, the concept of community involvement in learning, one of the two underlying principles of the present program, is not really practiced in the new program. The professors will already know most of what they will say, and need not discuss these issues at all.

Another problem caused by next year's Freshman Seminar program relates to the second goal of the replaced programs. Although community spirit was something the Vegetable Garden folk had in mind when they developed the new program, was willing to forego, the second goal learning to write was.

By assigning grades to the papers and courses, the program can effectively limit experimentation in writing techniques and approaches. Where the Vegetable Garden folk developed a new program, was willing to forego, the second goal learning to write was.

The failure of the old Freshman Core program, then, is at least partially the fault of an imperfect faculty. The new program encompasses many of the same expectations which lead to this failure, which may make it a better program. If so, it would seem to us that administrators who wish to see the program fail should take another look and admit why the old program failed. Lack of motivation just isn't good enough.

Campus Notes

Parents and Sons
The Lawrence University Theatre Company will present four performances of "Fathers and Sons," directed by Richard France, assistant professor of English. France's production of Turgenev's novel of the same title. France will direct the production.

Awards
Tickets are now available at the Box Office in the Music-Drama Center. Telephone reservations will be accepted, but must be made in advance. All performances are scheduled for 8 p.m. in Stansbury Hall.

Clay Marshall
Any senior interested in service, or a teaching profession, is encouraged to contact a member of the committee by May 14 through Saturday, May 17. The play of the Humanities Program.

Banners
Anyone interested in helping design banners for commencement will be contacted by Mary Ann Pannier, ext. 354, by Tuesday.

Donor Council
The Donor Council meeting is Thursday, May 7, 7:30 p.m., in Colman Hall. All interested shareholders, male and female, please attend.

Sophomores
Women nominated for the Junior Anna Gustavson Memorial Award to an outstanding sophomore woman will be graciously accepted from any student member of the faculty or administration by other Dean Barbara Pilling, Dean of Women, ext. 288, or Anne Weber, ext. 360. It would be appreciated if nominations be submitted either on or before April 30.

Tangler Concert
The major highlight of Charles Tellington's final semester at Lawrence will be his public concert in Memorial Chapel, Thursday, May 1. Tangler will be accompanied by his band for the concert by the Lawrence Sym-phony. Following the concert, the Lawrence women's basketball team will take on the Elmhurst College basketball team.

Ticketing
Tickets went on sale for the concert earlier this week. Adult tickets are priced at $5.50 and $5; Lawrence students with ID's may purchase tickets for only $3.50 and $3, one ticket per ID. A large crowd is expected for this concert, so, insure a good seat, get your tickets early.


discuss these problems with students next Monday, April 28, at 4:15 p.m. in Riverview Lounge. Shropshire, a wife and mother, will also be sharing her knowledge of the food crisis and the politics of relief to area churches and groups.

Chemistry Symposium
The Freshman Seminar will present an organization of Lawrence Chemistry students in the 5th Annual Undergraduate Research Symposium. Chemistry this afternoon and tomorrow in Science Hall. Student and guest lecturers will be present and formal papers on their research projects. All are invited to attend.

T-shirts ordered during Women's Week will be available during meal times Wednesday at Dooner.

"Prints..." Exhibition
Lawrence C. Barone, art instructor at Holyoke College, Holyoke, Mass., will present "Prints..." Exhibition, and the search for new forms of expression by artists while living by a specialized expertise.

The new program solves this problem of competence by offering a very good course. If this is done for the Freshmen, the professors will already know most of what they will say, and need not discuss these issues at all.

But other problems present themselves. For one thing, the concept of community involvement in learning, one of the two underlying principles of the present program, is not really practiced in the new program. The professors will already know most of what they will say, and need not discuss these issues at all.

Blood Drive
The opportunity to sign up for the Panhellenic sponsored Blood Drive has been extended. Those who didn't register this week at the dining halls or Happy Hour may call Jennifer Hokey ext. 390. Persons interested in helping are asked to fill out blood drive form.

Organizations
All organizations sponsored by LUCC must have their '74-'75 budget reports and '75-'76 allocation requests in no later than Monday, April 28. These should be sent to Jerry Ulle and Leune (College Hall), via campus mail. Organizations failing to comply will not be considered for an allocation.
Letters to the Editor...  

To the Editor: I was very upset that you would allow portions of the article "After Lawrence what else is there?" to grace the pages of an issue devoted largely to Women's Week. The primary offense was the paragraph on women interviewers (sexy and young). Not only was it a grossly sweeping generalization but it implied that the only place for that young woman is across the lunch table. I exhort you to use your rights as editor and edit! The last two paragraphs seemed to shout "Never admit to an agreement with the ideas of Women's Lib." Indeed, the whole article was tainted with the idea that you must never express any views you may hold on controversial topics. It is depressing to think that getting a job has risen to such heights of shoe licking. —VANESSA JONES

Before we tell you what the Co-op has to offer this week, we'd like to give a special thanks to SEC for their fantastic work. SEC has been adding more and more shows to the schedule and the Co-op wants to thank them for their contributions. The films will be screened at 7:30 in Youngchild 161 on Monday. Admission is only 75c. Further info may be obtained by calling John Wylie or Brett Cochrane, ext. 350. Tomorrow, there will be another bike trip, this time to the nature area at Poppe's Rock. Interestingly enough, this is the only place in Wisconsin where actual eactii are growing. The trip is leaving about 8 a.m. from Plantz and will return... We'd like to thank everyone who purchased MAYFLOWERS — delivery will begin next Thursday. Don't forget, you can still buy a Co-op T-shirt by calling Co-op at ext. 306. The cost is only $2.50—how can you pass up a bargain like that?

MEXICO AND BUGS ON MONDAY NIGHT

TIM WEISBERG (in the chair) and friends will be performing an International Film Series on Monday night at 8 p.m. in Riverview Lounge. Admission will be charged for this dance. The series will showcase "Time in the Sun" and "The Unbelievable Bugs of Malacapalacoo". The films will be screened at 7:30 in Youngchild 161 on Monday. Admission is only 75c. Further info may be obtained by calling John Wylie or Brett Cochrane, ext. 350. Tomorrow, there will be another bike trip, this time to the nature area at Poppe's Rock. Interestingly enough, this is the only place in Wisconsin where actual eactii are growing. The trip is leaving about 8 a.m. from Plantz and will return... We'd like to thank everyone who purchased MAYFLOWERS — delivery will begin next Thursday. Don't forget, you can still buy a Co-op T-shirt by calling Co-op at ext. 306. The cost is only $2.50—how can you pass up a bargain like that?
Living off-campus and liking it

by Eric Buehler

Yes, Virginia, there are Lawrence students who don’t live in a cubbyhole provided by the University. The Lawrenceians, particularly upperclassmen, would not want to live off campus. Many of these students find it easier to manage their own live, as well as gain personal experience in coping for oneself, which is important to the personal responsibility and stability of student life. The different experiences of dormitory singles illustrates the advantages which students have for privacy, despite the Administration’s assertion that “community” living is better for students.

Off-campus housing is a rarity for Lawrence students, particularly upperclassmen, who would not want to live off campus. Many of these students find it easier to manage their own lives, as well as gain personal experience in coping for oneself, which is important to the personal responsibility and stability of student life. The different experiences of dormitory singles illustrates the advantages which students have for privacy, despite the Administration’s assertion that “community” living is better for students.

It is true that nearly all off-campus students share an apartment with at least one other person, and that most students agree that home is the best place to live. The difference is that off-campus students do not have to pay dormitory fees. The student has explained that he or she has his or her own and to whom he or she can call his or her “home.”

The student has more control over his or her own living situation, because he or she lives alone. Also, with the exception of the few dormitory singles, the campus is the only way that a student can find a place to live if he or she is trained to be an off-campus student. The student may be able to entertain people without having to invite them into his or her “home.”

For others, a major consideration is food. A vegetarian complained that it’s too much hassle to try to get Downer to serve vegetarian food. In addition to the basic cost, though, is the so-called “debt service” (mortgage charge). The guarantee that Lawrence must now be faced with is that there would be no real savings involved.

One solution which has been offered, in lieu of total elimination of such services as desk clerks is to use students for that purpose. The major obstacle to this is that there would be no real savings involved. A new obligation which must now be faced by Lawrence is unemployment compensation.

THERE ARE TWO ALTERNATIVES to dorm living: on the left is one student’s off-campus apartment, on the right are two views of the bowling alley rooms available in the fraternity houses. (Photos by Craig Gagnon)

Housing Department budgets resources

by Matt Brockmeier

The final form of Lawrence’s housing policy is determined at least partially by the Housing Department’s budget. While a degree of flexibility is possible within the framework of this budget, a number of options are precluded by current financial limitations and obligations.

One of the greatest limitations on the budget comes from the income received by the department. The income is $56,044 from all sources. 10 per cent of its income came from student room charges. The remaining 90 per cent is from other sources, including a federal loan. The income from federal loans is the repayments made by Lawrence on the mortgages held by the Federal Government for the dorms. One requirement of the dormitory loans (which cover all dormitories except Clements) is that the university must keep in good faith in the repayment of all student debts through the federal government. One solution which has been offered in lieu of total elimination of such services as desk clerks is to use students for that purpose.

Another off-campus resident is leaving Lawrence, in part because she resents Lawrence trying to tell her how to live. One lawrentian has been moved back on campus, has been more nightmarish than a good idea. Occasionally, students are uncomfortable in dorm situations and are resentful of Lawrence’s rule in enforcing living unit changes. This is in addition to all the other responsibilities that Lawrence takes care of for its students. Almost without exception, these people are extremely devoted to this mode of living, and are suspicious of and aroused by any attempt to take this privilege away. Some off-campus students are to be distinguished from commuters, however. Some off-campus students work or study in Appleton over the summer. Living off-campus allows them to settle down in a year-round residence, eliminating the hassle of moving out in June and in again in September.

Clearly, many of the students, both on and off-campus feel that there are advantages to off-campus living. A large minority of on-campus students would prefer to move off-campus if they could. Dean Lauter believes that there are between 30 and 40 people who would like to live off campus. An informal poll in which the number may be higher than Lauter is aware.)

However, because of the strict Housing Office policy for next year will be to allow only fifth year seniors and students with medical reasons to live off campus. As a result, the competition for off-campus accommodation will become even greater than before. One off-campus student said candidly, “One of the conditions for my getting permission to live off campus was that I couldn’t tell anyone how I got it.” There are even some students who are so desperate to live off campus that they are willing to pay both room and board and rent and grocery bills. One student, a senior, lives off campus to avoid hassles over the summer. His rent is $470. In addition to the basic cost, though, is the so-called “debt service” (mortgage charge). The guarantee that Lawrence must now be faced with is that there would be no real savings involved. A new obligation which must now be faced by Lawrence is unemployment compensation.

Prior to this year colleges were exempt from payment of unemployment benefits. Now, however, others must be added in their positions or the cost of compensation from a Housing Department fund. Lawrence has been avoiding the full impact of this by not retiring for positions which are vacant, thereby not becoming liable for unemployment benefits. The savings through retirement rather than layoffs are estimated at 10-12 per cent.

Beyond the university’s responsibility to fill the dorms that are federally funded, there are additional costs to the university in providing alternative accommodations. The higher cost of operating the off-campus dorms is expected to save Lawrence up to 25 per cent of the budget. Next year’s elimination of desk service is expected to save Lawrence up to 25 per cent of the budget. This is one student’s off-campus apartment, on the right are two views of the bowling alley rooms available in the fraternity houses. (Photos by Craig Gagnon)

T H E S E A R E T W O A L T E R N A T I V E S to dorm living; on the left is one student’s off-campus apartment, on the right are two views of the bowling alley rooms available in the fraternity houses. (Photos by Craig Gagnon)

AS THE OLD SAYING goes, if you want it done right, build it yourself. Right, Chris’
LU grad reflects on housing policy, served as housing officer in Hawaii

by Jean Erickson

Jerry Brazeau (LU '73) never lived in a dorm at Lawrence, only in the Sig Ep house and in various apartments in town with married couples. Nevertheless, his experience working for the Housing Department of Hawaii Loa College has given him some interesting ideas on the whole problem of student housing.

Hawaii Loa College is about ten years old. The dorms were set up in a manner that would impress most students here as extremely relaxed. Since the college had recently been converted from commuter to residential, the dorms were brand-new and no housing policy had yet been formed.

Thirty people lived in each dorm, which were completely co-ed, down to the rooms provided for married couples. During the year Brazeau worked at Hawaii Loa, couples who were not married, but who were living together, received permission to use these rooms. Brazeau said that at Hawaii Loa, "The college was not acting in loco parentis." He feels this policy of non-intervention would improve relations between the Housing Department and students here. Housing should "remove the parental 'you must.'"

One suggestion was the removal of the requirement for students in upperclassmen sections in dorms. Freshmen would probably be less apt to play the parental role if they were mixed with upperclassmen rooms by room. They would merge more quickly into the Lawrence community if they lived near older students.

But Brazeau can also see and sympathize with the practical aspects of university housing. He recognizes that financially it is "more feasible to have everyone in the dorms" than it is to allow off-campus housing and small houses. It is also more practical to insist students eat in the school facilities.

Community feeling is an important aspect of life at a residential college, according to Brazeau. He dislikes the idea of factionalism among students. He believes that to the extent small houses foster classlessness, they are bad.

For this reason, and since Lawrence is small, it would be impossible to have the kind of student body Brazeau feels a residential college should have — the "parental presence.

One suggestion was the removal of the requirement for students to live on campus in certain specific exceptions: Brazeau seriously questioned whether many more students would live off-campus than do now. "For one thing," he said, "it's really hard to find an apartment around here." Other considerations were the easy access of the dorms to the campus, and the "sense of participation" in the college community given by living in a dorm.

Two other instances of the University's parental policy Brazeau feels should be eliminated are the official disapproval of student cohabitation and the institution of counselors for freshmen.

Freshman would probably be less apt to play the parental role if they were mixed with upperclassmen rooms by room. They would merge more quickly into the Lawrence community if they lived near older students.

But Brazeau can also see and sympathize with the practical aspects of university housing. He recognizes that financially it is "more feasible to have everyone in the dorms" than it is to allow off-campus housing and small houses. It is also more practical to insist students eat in the school facilities.

Community feeling is an important aspect of life at a residential college, according to Brazeau. He dislikes the idea of factionalism among students. He believes that to the extent small houses foster classlessness, they are bad.

For this reason, and since Lawrence is small, it would be impossible to have the kind of student body Brazeau feels a residential college should have — the "parental presence."
Living off-campus and liking it

By Eric Bueter

Yes, there are Lawrence students who don't live in dorms. They are Lawrence students who live off campus. The Lawrence estimates that there are from 35 to 40 students who daily deal with the realities of finding a place to live, paying rent and other bills, shopping for food, and besiege enforcement officers to off-campus activities. This is in addition to all the other responsibilities that Lawrence takes care of for its students.

Almost without exception, these people are extremely devoted to this mode of living, and anyone who would attempt to take this privilege away from them would be seen as acting against the spirit of Lawrence. However, Commuters are people who share their parental or communal and are year-round residents. These people who live off-campus have for privacy despite the Admissions Office's claim that "community" living is better for them.

Off-campus housing is a rarity for some Lawrence students. Fortunately, Lawrence is a school with a large number of people who live off-campus. Many of these people have a lifestyle that they cannot afford to living on-campus. Lawrence, is known for its high costs, and many students have a hard time living off-campus. Lawrence students have a hard time living off-campus, they must pay for their own rent and utilities, and many students have a hard time living in dorms. Lawrence students are for privacy despite the Admissions Office's claim that "community" living is better for them.

One off-campus student, for example, said that he liked being able to entertain people, without having to invite them into his bedroom. Some off-campus students work or study in Appleton over the summer. Living off-campus allows them to settle down in a year-round residence, eliminating the hassle of moving out in June and in again in September.

Clearly, many of the students, both on- and off-campus feel that there are advantages to off-campus living. A large minority of on-campus students would prefer to move off-campus if they could. (Douglas Luiter believes that there are between 35 and 40 students who live off-campus. An informal poll in residence shows that this number is higher than Lawrence is aware.)

However, because of the growing demand, the Housing Office policy for next year will be to allow only third-year seniors and students with medical problems to live off-campus. As a result, the competition for off-campus housing will be even greater than before. One off-campus student said candidly, "One of the conditions for me is living off-campus was that I couldn't tell anyone how I got it." There are even some students who are so desperate to live off-campus that they pay their room and board and rent and grocery bills. One such student, a senior, lives off-campus to avoid hassles with the pet that she owns.

One major problem is the policy requiring some campus residents to move back on-campus after the spring semester of housing so dictating. Among those to move back on-campus there is considerable opposition to this, especially from those who find the school environment less desirable than living Lawrence. However, Lawrence will have to acclimate its students to college life. Lawrence requires that students attend classes and eat meals at the dining halls. Another off-campus resident is leaving Lawrence, in part because she resents Lawrence trying to control her life.

One Lawrence has been living off campus, has stayed, and has become an expert at living off-campus for two years. His advice is that students who want to live off-campus should do it. It is being able to entertain people, without having to invite them into your own house. For others, a major consideration is food. A vegetarian complained that it's too much hassle to try to get Downer to serve meat. Lawrence describes Downer as serving very good institutional food, which is nonetheless institutional food, and therefore, lacks the inhumanity. Sometimes there are years when there is no meat, because the number of vegetarians is high. Lawrence is a school with a strong tradition of vegetarianism. One off-campus resident, a vegetarian, said that the lack of meat in the dining halls is one of the reasons he lives off-campus.

The ideal of the "residential campus" philosophy getting people together by bringing them into more of a community is not always seen as a good idea. Occasionally, Lawrence residents are uncomfortable in dorm situations and are suspicious of Lawrence's role in enforcing living unit rules.

There are two alternatives to dorm living, on the left is one student's off-campus apartment, on the right are two views of the bowling alley rooms available in the fraternity houses. (Photos by Craig Gagnon.)

Housing Department budgets resources

by Matt Buckmaster

The total form of Lawrence's housing policy is determined at least partially by the Housing Department's budget. Every year, the department must decide how much of its budget is to be spent on maintenance, operations, and capital improvements. The department must also decide how much of its budget is to be spent on the construction of new dormitories.

The Housing Department's budget is determined by a number of factors, including the number of students living on-campus, the number of new dormitories being constructed, and the amount of money available for capital improvements. The department must also consider the cost of maintaining the existing dormitories and the cost of constructing new dormitories.

One major consideration is the number of students living on-campus. The number of students living on-campus is determined by the number of dormitory spaces available and the number of students who choose to live on-campus. The number of dormitory spaces available is determined by the number of dormitories being constructed and the number of dormitories being renovated.

The Housing Department's budget is also determined by the amount of money available for capital improvements. The amount of money available for capital improvements is determined by the amount of money that the university can raise through fundraising and by the amount of money that the university can raise through borrowing.

Beyond the university's responsibility to fill the dorms that are federally funded, there are additional costs to the university in providing alternative housing. The higher cost of operating the small houses per student is just one of them.

Another cost is of efficiency in dormitory design in order to achieve special goals. An example of this is Kohler, which was designed to be basically a small group living unit, with fewer students per floor than in other dorms. However, Kohler costs more to operate than does Plante, for instance. While Plante last year cost $10,000 to heat, Kohler cost $12,000, despite its fewer residents.

A part of the housing policy of the university, then, is to allow for a degree of variation within the fiscal limits imposed upon it.
LU grad reflects on housing policy, served as housing officer in Hawaii

Jerry Brazeau (LU '73) never lived in a dorm at Lawrence, only in the Sig Ep house and in President Smith's room. As far as co-habitation goes, Brazeau feels the problem could be resolved by throwing dorm regulation totally on the students. He feels it is better for freshmen to be "forced into the mainstream" of college life, depending more upon their own experience and intelligence.

As far as co-habitation goes, Brazeau feels the problem could be resolved by throwing dorm regulation totally on the students. He feels it is better for freshmen to be "forced into the mainstream" of college life, depending more upon their own experience and intelligence.

The committee recommended that new dormitories be "removed the parental 'you must'." One suggestion was the removal of the requirement for freshmen to live on campus barring certain specific exceptions. Brazeau seriously questioned whether many more students would live off-campus than do now. "For one thing," he said, "it's really hard to find an apartment around here." Other considerations were the easy access of the dorms to the campus, and the "sense of participation" in the college community given by living in a dorm.

Community feeling is an important aspect of life at a residential college, according to Brazeau. He dislikes the idea of factionalism among students. He believes that to the extent small houses foster cliquishness, they are bad.

Brazeau can also see and sympathize with the practical aspects of university housing. He recognizes that financially it is "more feasible to have everyone in the dorms" than it is to allow off-campus housing and small houses. It is also more practical to insist students eat in the school facilities.

President Smith also indicated that he would like to accommodate students who want to live off-campus. He said there are no plans to expand on-campus housing facilities. "We would like to have an enrollment of 1,000 students. If we have 1,000 we don't need any more housing. Rather, the restrictions on alternative housing would be lifted," he said.

One other instance of the University's parental policy Brazeau feels should be eliminated is the official disapproval of student co-ed dorms and the obstruction of counselors for freshmen.

"If the students make the rules, then they can accept them," says Brazeau, explaining why such a setup would lead to greater harmony between the students and the Housing Department.

"I don't want to say that we are limiting the students," Brazeau said. "But it's better for freshmen to be "forced onto the mainstrem" of college life, depending more upon their own experiences and intelligence for survival."

"He feels freshmen are also hurt by being herded into freshmen dorms. Freshmen would probably be less apt to take the hazardous freshman role if they were mixed with upper-classroom room by room. They would emerge more quickly into the Lawrence community if they lived near older students."

President Smith, though, argued that small houses are bad. "I'm concerned that the ideal conditions would be if everybody who tried to live off could actually do that."

As far as co-habitation goes, Brazeau feels the problem could be resolved by throwing dorm regulation totally on the students. He feels it is better for freshmen to be "forced into the mainstream" of college life, depending more upon their own experiences and intelligence for survival.
A special series for seniors (or anyone else) about the nitty-gritty things in life no one ever told you about: your credit rating, applying for loans, taxes, leases and landlord-tenant obligations, insurance and what it can or can’t do for you, savings plans, personal finance, and more.

NUMBER ONE:
Taxes and You: What to Expect, How to Prepare, Obligations and Benefits:
(Questions and Answers)

MR. BEN DAUGHERTY, Director
Foxtail Valley Office, Internal Revenue Service

4:30 p.m.
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Pledge Auction grosses $190

Fun at the DG corral

by Carol Rothberg & Tracy Grogan

"Going, going, gone! Sold to the smoking young gentleman in the third row!"

Last Friday night, the Viking Room was carpeted wall to wall with people—amusing buyers, eager spectators—all contributing to the spirit of the annual Delta Gamma Delta Tau Delta pledge auction.

"Let the good times roll" boomed a voice from the bar, and the auction was under way.

The two pledge class presidents, Julie Stinehman and Robin Fradwe, were first to get things rolling—the beer, cheers, applause and money began to flow. And soon, whooping and chanting, the audience expressed its desire for a real performance. The pledges willingly satisfied the demand. The auction thus became a talent show, each pledge stepping into the spotlight to display his or her skills. All of the highlights included muscle flexing, strip teasing, tap dancing, and beer chugging. The range of talent seemed limitless.

"Take it off! Take it off!" was Freshman crowd-pleaser Mike Powers' cue to shed his inhibitions. After removing his shirt, Mike, amidst a rousing round of "Go! Go! Go!" proceeded to chug a pitcher of beer donated by the rowdy crowd. To further display his dedication to the cause, Mike

began to down another in the midst of his speech. However, Mike Knipp ('76) freed him from impending disaster by purchasing him for $7. Wolf whistles rose as Freshman Mary Reed mounted the block and began disrobing to entice the crowd. The chorus of cheers rose in intensity as she slowly undressed her shirt. To the dismay of the howling males, however, Mary, in anticipation of the event, had worn two shirts.

Jane Taylor ('75), never auctioned as a pledge, was called to the selling block. Delt pledge Dan Matic played the role of auctioneer and after much rousing competition stimulated by "Mighty" Mattie's commentary, Jane was sold to Steve Lomess ('75) for $15—the highest bid of the event.

Thus, the combination pledge auction-talent show was a tremendous success. Everyone had a good time for a good cause. The proceeds of $190 will be donated to the Gilloon-Davenport book fund.

LUCC budget to be $30,000

by Ann Francis

Lawrence University Community Council, the parent organization of most student committees and associations on campus, has received a budget of $30,000 for the 1975-76 academic year. This figure is just $40 above last year's budget, but almost $6,000 short of the budget for 1973-74.

LUCC receives and evaluates budget requests from approximately 15 campus organizations each year, and distributes its funds according to the need and feasibility. To date, four student associations have submitted tentative budgets: Downer Women's Council, $10; Lawrence University Geology Society, $50; Lantern which sponsors the Children's Recreation and Oneida Tutoring programs, $75; and Experimental Projects Grants, $180.

Lynn LaJove, Vice President of LUCC, predicts that the largest budgets will be proposed by the Special Events Committee, the Lawrenceian, the Association of African Americans, and the LUGC General Fund used for miscellaneous student events scheduled during the year.

LaJove says the final budget approved is impossible to predict at this time, but "the financial requests always amount to at least four times what we have."
The Democrats might name in Ken-edy despite Chap-paquiddick

L.: Who do you see as Democrat contenders? R.: That's more difficult. There really hasn't been any clear leader... up to this point.

L.: And if he runs, he'll be nominated? R.: I think so. As a matter of fact, I see the nation as a whole moving in that direction. And there's some indication that some of the liberal Democrats are beginning to be concerned about what they think has been an excessive expansion of the governmental role in our society.

L.: I think that one of the most dramatic instances of this Governor Brown of California who has shocked the liberal leadership by criticizing his Governor's party and the Great Society programs and indicating that he believes the liberals have been wrong. In his mind, the liberals have been swept into solving problems just with government money, and L.: In their thinking, they could solve the crime problem by an increased arsenal of weapons and force in dealing with crime.

There's a distinct possibility of the country moving in a more conservative direction in the period ahead.

So it's quite clear that many liberals who thought that more government and more programs would solve our problems are beginning to question that belief and are beginning to sound more like conservatives. And I think there's a distinct possibility of the country moving in a more conservative direction in the period ahead.

L.: I have the obligation of asking you what you think it's effect has been, on the party in particular.

R.: Oh, sure. It's affected the party it's affected the nation. There isn't any question but that Watergate was a traumatic, perhaps a disheartening experience for the party, and the political process, and contributed to an increase in cynicism. On the other hand, we need to remember that there has been a precipitate drop in public confidence in our institutions and our leadership right across the board before Watergate.

Now Watergate accelerated that in a way that I think was perhaps an unfortunate experience and contributed to a disheartening experience for the party.

L.: How do you see Watergate as it affects the party in any way? Do you see the possibility for a greater or lesser commitment in any way than any other element of the party?

R.: I'm not sure how much the Watergate experience affected the party. What it did show was... L.: to consider problems above the partisan level and, as a result, bring about a greater public understanding of the problems.

I am a member of the National Commission on Philanthropy and Public Needs. The Commission is working on the process of preparing recommendations to Congress and the Treasury Department for changes in our tax laws and other national policies that would stimulate the financial contributions on the part of individuals and organizations to support all the many private voluntary organizations in the country, including private colleges and universities.

L.: What have you thought of Lawrence as a university?

R.: Well, I think it can conduct itself in an honest, honorable and forthright way. I think that President Ford has... in a manner that has tended to command the respect of people who have been outright and candid and not... in one or two other instances.

L.: What do you think of Lawrence as a university?

R.: Well, I think it can conduct itself in a way that has tended to command the respect of people who have been outright and candid and not... in one or two other instances.
Baseball errors result in losses: 1-11 record

Last Saturday, the Vikes lost the first two games of their best of five series against the Ripon Redmen.

Freshman Mike Brumling started in the first game, losing 10-5. Brumling, rated as the team's top pitcher, has yet to win this year. Junior Tim Protz, slated to a 9-2 lead in the second game, could not hold it and lost 11-5.

Thirteen runs were scored in the fourth inning, the main causes being numerous walks and errors. For instance, shortstop Jeff Chew committed three errors. The tendency to give up numerous walks and commit errors has been the Viking trademark this year. The key to success for the team is a tightening of the defense.

Despite being outscored 25-18 in the two-games, the Vikes actually out hit Ripon. Lack of defense has, especially by designated hitter Larry Neiber who is in a 0-20 slump, has been another team downfall.

Wednesday afternoon the Vikes were rained out of a doubleheader with Northwestern College of Watertown. These games will be played at a later date.

Saturday the team continues the Ripon series with a doubleheader at Ripon. They must win both games in order to stay in contention for a sport in the Midwest Conference Playoffs.

Brumling will be one of the starters Saturday, but at this point the other starter is not known. One defensive change may be the switching of third baseman Jerry Goodhew, a good fielder, and shortstop Chew.

The Vikes next home game will be against the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee team on Wednesday, May 2. The first game is scheduled to begin at 1:00 p.m.

SPORTS

Lawrence to host nine colleges for track events

Saturday, coach Gene Davis and his Lawrence University track team host eight schools, all competing for first place honors in the annual Viking Event. The Vikes' opponents Saturday will be: Beloit, Carroll, Concordia, Marquette, Milwaukee, Ripon, and St. Norberts.

Carroll, last year's victor, is expected to field a strong team again this year. Lawrence will follow in close contention. Among the top contenders are Beloit, Marquette, and St. Norberts, who could prove to be strong opponents.

L.U.'s strength lies in the field events this year with the strong performances of Steve Neuman, Scott Proppon, and record breaker Tom Wust in shot and disc. Along with the steady contribution of javelin throwers Steve Lemen, Fred Gannett, and John Davis, the Vikes could well turn the tables on Carroll.

This Saturday's event will also be the first home appearance of the women's team. Led by Lynn LaLonde and Deb Lien, they will compete for first place against the women's teams of Ripon and Carroll. Reigning over this year's relays are Queens: Lynn LaLonde, Ellen Haas, Sue Stephenson, and Mary Ellen More.

Field Events - 12:00
Running Events - 1:30

LACROSSE PLAYER Kirk Kolodner. (Photo by Dan Reuben)

Lacrosse team wins

by Larry Wilson

Saturday, while most of Lawrence's teams were going down to defeat, the Lacrosse team soundly thrashed the Linksters of Ripon 14 to 4.

Leading the offensive barrage was Kirk Kolodner, who scored 4 goals, made 2 assists, and took a direct from the right wing that near the east goal. Tom Hodges showed the true Lancer spirit by returning from a first-half knee injury and adding two goals to his game-opening tally. Also scoring 3 goals was Jeff Fortney. Bill Fuller and freshman Jeff Blais added an assist.

Kirk Kolodner and Dave Fortney were great in the goal and showed dazzling dancing on their length of the field careers. Kirk also scored a goal and had an assist in his opening day performance as player-coach (look out, Frank Robinson).

All the fans (attendance was estimated to be in excess of 400) loved the thrilling and exciting game.

Tomorrow the team journeys to Ripon to battle once again. Ripon is having its annual Spring fest, so the fans can expect a fine time in addition to the game.

Cold linksters lose at Ripon

The Lawrence golfers ended last in a triangular meet at Ripon Saturday. Ripon and Carroll Colleges showed their strength in the cold as they finished first with 422 and second with 436, respectively.

Gene Wright led the Vikings with an 89, followed closely by Tom Meyers - 91, Larry Smith - 92, Pete Johnson - 94, Dennis Davis - 94, and Geoff Hayes - 96. Only two weeks of practice preceded their first match. The golfers admitted they played worse than they had in practice and attributed their poor showing to the bitterly cold weather.

Even though disappointed with their performance, the Larry U. Linksters are optimistic and plan to play well at Lawrence tomorrow in good weather.