Walsh Given Jail Term For Role In Faculty Disruption

by DAVE SIMMONS

A reduced physical education requirement of 3 terms was approved at the faculty meeting Tuesday night. The proposal, Perrysburg recommendation No. 62, will now be submitted to President Thomas S. Smith for final approval. Recommendation 62 also provides for a grading system of satisfactory - unsatisfactory for physical education courses.

TWO POLICEMEN RESIGN, NOT TO BE CHARGED

Not Appleton police officers, accused of giving false testimony in the preliminary hearing on charges of marijuana possession, were brought against three Lawrence students, from the force.

No perjury charges will be brought against officers, Thomas Stebler, 27, and Lundy Johnson, 24, of the Appleton police force. Chief Earl O. Wolff. "The district attorney would have no case on the officers did not actually perjure themselves," he said.

Wolff explained that the men had only misrepresented the facts in not testifying stating the case from which they viewed the alleged criminal activity.

The three were dropped when it was discovered that they had observed the activities of the defendants from the Appleton Cross building, not from the ground as they had initially testified.

According to Wolff, a member of Appleton's detective report apparently aware of the events that had transpired, suggested that the information given by the officers was incorrect and revealed the misrepresentation.

Lawrence students were found guilty of disorderly conduct. Jerome "Bud" Walsh, 24, ex-lawrentian and student activist for a faculty meeting on 29 September of last year.

Povolny Report recommendation No. 2 also provides for a grading system of satisfactory - unsatisfactory for physical education courses.

The recommendation for a new dormitory submitted by the Ad Hoc Committee on Residence Halls was well received and endorsed by President Thomas S. Smith last week.

To be eligible for a low-cost dormitory, the student must not have been greatly reduced after the last week's meeting had been conducted.

Because a number of faculty members felt that discussion at the faculty meetings had been limited, the committee asked their petition to reopen the last week's meeting had been handled.

The three faculty members have testified to comments about Walsh's sentence.

New Dorn Plans Scheduled

For April Completion Date

The recommendation for a new dormitory submitted by the Ad Hoc Committee on Residence Halls was well received and endorsed by President Thomas S. Smith last week.

Some of the problems the committee will face will be to suggest a building program in the architect indicating types of facilities to be included an appropriate site, and a list within reach of the financial capabilities of Lawrence.

Richard L. Haynes, director of admissions, reported that the specimen is due and students will have a well-designed and aesthetically pleasing building.

Good chemistry is also needed, and the committee is considering the danger of having an excessive student concentration in certain areas of the campus. They are also seeking a site that has plenty of trees and outdoor recreation space.

Before a plan can be submitted to BUD, it must have the support and approval of the Board of Trustees. Whether it will be struck or not is still undetermined. Haynes wants to collect as much information and suggestions from students as possible, before making a decision.

Haynes concluded, "to propose a program, for the architect to submit a plan and for the trustees to approve it within all 12 weeks is a large task."
Identity Can't Be Grabbed
Ex-President Knight Returns To A Transformed Lawrence

by NANCY PAULU

"An identity — whether of a person or a school—works at: one does not grab at it." Former Lawrence University President Douglas M. Knight recently discussed the identity problem confronting small liberal arts colleges and, more specifically, Lawrence, during his visit to the campus Tuesday.

Knight, vice-president of RCA in charge of educational programs and a former president of Duke University, delivered a freshman studies lecture Tuesday and met with various faculty members earlier this week.

"Obviously, we are all sensitive of our identity," he said. However, he cautioned against a school such as Lawrence limiting its objectives too severely in developing a person.

The "great school," Knight suggested, does not attempt to conduct its affairs specifically. "It possesses both conservative and radical elements in its makeup. The conservative ones help students to see great ideas which have been developed in the past, while the radical ones help them put those ideas into action."

Knight cited several elements which make up part of Lawrence's specific identity.

The Appleton community is one definite consideration. He feels that the people of this region are "powerful, conservative, but imaginative" — and he hopes at this as more of a positive than a negative factor.

Commenting on the frequent criticism of Lawrence as being "too provincial," Knight said that a "cosmopolitan school does not necessarily have to be situated in a large city." He commented that if a student has spent his entire life in New Haven, Connecticut, he may possess mere limited insights than a student in Appleton if he has made no attempt to expose himself to other environmental conditions.

Knight also said that Lawrence's identity is aided by its effective board of trustees, and he referred to the board members as knowledgeable both with matters of money and understanding the school's social and academic needs.

He warned of the danger of viewing an identity as a static thing. A school such as Lawrence, partially due to its size, he said, can be quite innovative. As an example, he cited several educational techniques which led him to undergo some transformations.

He considers the Povolny report to be a most positive element in this change. "The report is best for the questions it raises — even if all the answers aren't ironed out," If faculty members can manage to reevaluate both social and academic issues every ten years, then Lawrence should have no trouble maintaining its identity as a first-rate academic institution.

Realizing the sort of changes which must inevitably occur, he suggested, is sometimes very difficult for a faculty member.

What was most difficult for him in returning to Lawrence this week was realizing some of those changes. "Yesterday I felt quite melancholy when I realized I can't ever come back to Lawrence as it was before." However, he continued, his mood only lasted "for about eight minutes," since during that time he was able to convince himself that he must return to the campus "with 'now' in my heart."

Judging from the enthusiasm with which he delivered the freshman studies lecture, he appears to have most satisfactorily accomplished this attitude change.

DOUGLAS M. KNIGHT, vice-president of RCA in charge of educational programs and past president of Lawrence and Duke Universities, returned this week to deliver a freshman studies lecture on The Odyssey.
LUCC Presidential Platforms

Bill Baer

1. Bill Baer hereby declares my candidacy for the office of president of the Lawrence University Community Council.

LUCC, born in a time of critical need, has rapidly become most important as a voice in the Lawrence community. It has done much in the past two years to provide Lawrence with a responsible, mature, social environment. The difficulties encountered in achieving these victories have tended to distort the importance of the social issues in the context of the total environment. Now that the backlog of pressing social issues is no longer so great, LUCC must decide whether to remain within the confines of the social realm, or to move into new areas.

I feel strongly that this is the time for LUCC to expand its horizons to include all matters of community interest. The Council must be at the total campus environment and work toward making it more exciting and challenging.

Before such a goal can be realized, students must be recognized as full-fledged members of the Lawrence community and be represented in all of the decision-making processes of the University.

The Pevolgy Report is a prime example of the exclusion of students from the University decision-making process. The report is being discussed and yet an elected student member does not appear to be totally ignored in these very decision-making processes of the University. The Council and the presidency, and not the student body, are being asked to assume this sort of authority.

LUCC should continue to press for the elimination of faculty committees in favor of university committees which represent the total Lawrence Community. It should be made very clear that we do not want "student representation on faculty committees," but rather full representation on university committees. Other proposals:

1. The new LUCC office on the campus should be made a place where any member of the community can propose legislation, or request aid in preparing or financing an activity that will contribute to the community. To this end and regular LUCC office hours should be established.

2. The effectiveness of our current committee structure is questionable. We need to encourage more individuals and groups to plan and stage concerts or guest appearances without the responsibility of a year-long committee assignment. By placing these activities under the guidance of the Director of Student Activities, a more co-ordinated activity schedule may result.

3. In order to determine what curricular facilities are not being used to their fullest potential, a study of campus space should be conducted. The campus needs to provide more appointment for individual expression other than those available in curricular environments.

4. Presently LUCC is funded by means of a student activity fee. If the Council is to work toward establishing an exciting campus environment, this source will be insufficient. The feasibility of becoming a regular part of the University budget should be studied.

5. LUCC should pass a resolution calling upon the trustees to implement recommendations 6-8 of the Pevolgy Report concerning faculty and non-alumnus representation on the board of trustees.

6. The current J-Board evaluation should continue until J-Board is able to formulate a written policy which ensures that individual rights will be safeguarded.

7. In the social realm, the policy of dorm autonomy should be extended to include lounges hours 24 hours a maximum, and the use of alcoholic beverages with state law compliance as the only restriction.

8. LUCC as a legislative body loses much of its effectiveness if it is to function as a governing body must have the power to act in such a role, not as an advisory board to the President. The above are only some of the issues that confront the Lawrence community today. However, few of them will be resolved unless LUCC firmly asserts itself as the voice of our community.

David Healy

1. David Healy hereby declares my candidacy for the office of president of the Lawrence University Community Council.

A government, in order to function as a governing body, must have organization and power. If LUCC is to succeed as an unique experiment in student government, the Council must assume more power and must increase its role as the central organizing and governing body of this community.

If elected, these would be the major goals that I would pursue: I would try to realize a Council that can demand action. The Council and the presidency, and not the student body, are being asked to assume this sort of authority. In having the power as a governing body, I would then hope to see LUCC under my presidency attain the following:

1. An experiment in co-ed living be inaugurated by next fall in one of the dorms as a trial basis.

2. The Council provide its full support to the incorporation of the Pevolgy Report.

3. That J-Board and Honor Council enact constitutions, and be able to act as procedurally sound judicial bodies. My own experience on J-Board has made the discrepancies of this campus judicial system painfully aware to me.

4. That the car privilege be extended to all students with the only stipulation being the the car owner find for himself acceptable parking space.

5. That the car privilege be extended to all students with the only stipulation being the car owner find for himself acceptable parking space.

6. That the car privilege be extended to all students with the only stipulation being the car owner find for himself acceptable parking space.

7. The Council provide its full support to the incorporation of the Pevolgy Report.

8. That the Council provide its full support to the incorporation of the Pevolgy Report.

9. That the car privilege be extended to all students with the only stipulation being the car owner find for himself acceptable parking space.

10. That an active Outing Club be incorporated to supplement the rather limited aspects of Entertainment.

In the position of president, I, myself, would hope to bring the following changes to the office.

1. The president, or for that matter anyone, cannot act as constant, compliant diplomat to all factions of the community. If LUCC is to go on being an effective body, it must act and the President must provide the decisions and the impetus for this action.

2. As president, then, I will call for action, and if need be, demand action. The Council and its president should not succumb themselves in the endless diplomatic shuffling it so often seems engulfed with.

3. I will, of course, work to the best of my ability with the Graduate Student Council and the President. Yet there will be dissent and disagreement, and I, as president, hope to function as active upholder of my electorates' feelings and not fall to the role of basically ineffectual diplomat to all fronts.

Finally, I reiterate again my desire to see LUCC succeed as an unique experiment in student government, and that success is dependent upon both power and action of the Council and the president. I sincerely believe that if I elected I could bring those two means and methods to the Council and the presidency, and work from there for a more exciting Lawrence community.
Bill Baer

As LCC enters its third year of operation, the Lawrentian feels that Bill Baer is the presidential candidate who will best be able to govern this unique form of student government in an efficacious manner. Although there seems to be a definite danger that Baer will unwittingly fall into the Onn rally pattern of pedestrian leadership with little challenge of the existing power structure, his desire for LCC autonomy and consideration of the Lawrentian Report lends credence to the possibility that he may be able to employ his legislative experience as a basis for a meaningful transformation of the powerless nature of LCC.

Thus Baer's familiarity with LCC to date can ultimately become either detrimental or advantageous to a Baer presidency. He is too little different from his understanding of the internal operations of LCC far exceeds that of his fellow candidates. He, however, understands the experience leads directly to constructive change, it will be of little value in LCC's battle to attain legitimacy in the eyes of the students. If Baer allows the system to push him into traditional channels and patterns his presidency will be characterized by mediocrity. It is time for LCC to abandon the politics of consensus promulgated by Preston.

A further advantage Baer holds is his understanding of the top-heavy LCC committee structure and his realization that its efficacy must be increased if the important features of campus file controlled by the committees are to maintain some semblance of meaningfulness.

Fast Draw a Bad Move

ALL QUESTIONS of inequality of justice or of the defendant finally getting his due momentarily aside, the court action by the University in the recent Jecone (Jerome) Walsh case seems almost the world where decision-defining action the bloated history faculty has invited further burdensome interference in the internal affairs of the University. Just as the "non-positive" drug policy was properly caused because it would have abrogated Lawrence's responsibility to students, so the present action represents an apparent institutional incorrectness.

The University was dormant for a certain "law's anvil" for a productive atmosphere, we suspect that the University (by which we mean faculty and administration) erred in not dealing locally with this six-tentrer demonstrator in a more imaginative manner.

As a result, at least in part, of the University's decision to infuse the Faculty Senate with disciplinary power, the recent administration has attempted to rewrite the Student Handbook and the "stewardship" faculty has invited further burdensome interference in the internal affairs of the University.

The administration apparently does not recognize the necessity for a certain "law's anvil" for a productive atmosphere, we suspect that the University (by which we mean faculty and administration) erred in not dealing locally with this six-tentrer demonstrator in a more imaginative manner.

While student participants in this demonstration received only a few days jail for their part in the demonstration, the defendants in the current case have received a commendable sentence--the kind of treatment the law is supposed to mete out to criminals. If the University is to act against Walsh in some criminal courts, it would only be fair for Walsh to receive the same or better treatment.

I expect that because it is going to be miserable. The jail in which he will live will be fixed up.

So I am frightened. But I am not attempting to exude their actions which I still think were precipitous and unjustifiable. I do feel that the University has stated very clearly that injustice is a concept to be applied everywhere but that somehow with newsprint.

The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence. The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence.

To the Editor:

The lawlessness to which I refer is the conduct of Bill Walsh. I received an unprecedented forty-five day jail sentence for my participation in the disruption of the September 29th faculty meeting. Bill Walsh, the former Lawrence student who participated in the recent disruption of a faculty meeting here, has received "equal justice under the law". While student participants in this demonstration received only a few days jail for their part in the demonstration, the defendants in the current case have received a commendable sentence--the kind of treatment the law is supposed to mete out to criminals. If the University is to act against Walsh in some criminal courts, it would only be fair for Walsh to receive the same or better treatment.

It was necessary for the University to act against Walsh in some criminal courts. It would only be fair for Walsh to receive the same or better treatment.

The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence. The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence.

So I am frightened. But I am not attempting to exude their actions which I still think were precipitous and unjustifiable. I do feel that the University has stated very clearly that injustice is a concept to be applied everywhere but that somehow with newsprint.

The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence. The administration apparently changes the basic concept of the University--and I believe that this is a very serious matter--the students that Bud could and probably would get a very similar sentence.

To the Editor:

As a result, at least in part, of the University's decision to infuse the Faculty Senate with disciplinary power, the recent administration has attempted to rewrite the Student Handbook and the "stewardship" faculty has invited further burdensome interference in the internal affairs of the University. Just as the "non-positive" drug policy was properly caused because it would have abrogated Lawrence's responsibility to students, so the present action represents an apparent institutional incorrectness.
One More Letter . . .

To the Editor:

I write out of a firm conviction for Justice, Honesty, Freedom and the Truth—virtues. Sir, which I trust you hold as dear as I do.

Therefore, allow me to correct the statement as printed in the 29th January issue of The Lawrentian, which quoted me as saying that, "LUCU cannot make policy decisions." I should have been quoted as saying that, "The Legislative Review Committee cannot make policy decisions." You see Sir, I have always believed, with a firm conviction, that the Power belongs to the hands of the People.

Your statement may have given the People the impression that their representatives have strayed from the straight and narrow.

Therefore, Sir, with your assistance I would like to reaffirm my belief in the cause of Freedom. Liberty. Democracy and 24 hour learning hours for the entire student body.

COLIN ETHERINGTON

NEWLY APPOINTED NIXON AMBASSADOR to Sweden Jerome J. Holland spoke to a small crowd in the Chapel Thursday morning on the subject of changing patterns of race relations.

HEAR...
Contempo
with JIM CHOUDOIR
Mon. thru Saturday 10:05 to 10:30 p.m.
ALL KINDS OF JAZZ
WHBY
1230 on Every Dial

FREE LAUNDREIIS • CLEANERS

☆ COMPLETE LAUNDRY AND DRY CLEANING SERVICE
☆ WEEKLY SPECIALS
☆ HALF-BLOCK OFF CAMPUS

PHONE 733-4428 or 733-6678

LAVERNE UNIVERSITY PROPOSED BUDGET - 1970-71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Enrollment</td>
<td>$396,395</td>
<td>$607,115</td>
<td>$210,720 (53.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted Revenue</td>
<td>$2,262,129</td>
<td>$1,977,684</td>
<td>$284,445 (6.86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Revenue</td>
<td>$5,377,831</td>
<td>$987,166</td>
<td>$4,390,665 (82.11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,639,960</td>
<td>$1,977,684</td>
<td>$5,662,276 (73.76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission</td>
<td>$1,161,720</td>
<td>$1,161,720</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition</td>
<td>$2,119,240</td>
<td>$3,263,911</td>
<td>$1,144,671 (53.97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board</td>
<td>$5,850</td>
<td>$5,850</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room</td>
<td>$5,850</td>
<td>$5,850</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Services</td>
<td>$2,357,701</td>
<td>$2,357,701</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Services &amp; Inform.</td>
<td>$82,568</td>
<td>$82,568</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized Activities</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td>$72,000</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Sources</td>
<td>$2,674,656</td>
<td>$2,674,656</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational Budget</td>
<td>$4,102,269</td>
<td>$6,617,165</td>
<td>$2,514,896 (61.32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$6,617,165</td>
<td>$6,617,165</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External Revenue</td>
<td>$871,101</td>
<td>$871,101</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue</td>
<td>$1,136,064</td>
<td>$1,136,064</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education &amp; General</td>
<td>$307,044</td>
<td>$9,440</td>
<td>$307,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Services</td>
<td>$313,041</td>
<td>$3,841</td>
<td>$313,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Services &amp; Inform.</td>
<td>$2,925,816</td>
<td>$2,925,816</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>$1,607,429</td>
<td>$1,607,429</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized Activities</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research &amp; Institutions</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operation</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>$4,102,269</td>
<td>$4,102,269</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid</td>
<td>$2,514,896</td>
<td>$2,514,896</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>1969-70 Actual</th>
<th>1970-71 Budget</th>
<th>Increase (Decrease)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Enterprises</td>
<td>$1,379,165</td>
<td>$1,379,165</td>
<td>$0 (0.00%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Up $750,000

Trustee Board Approves Increased '70-'71 Budget

Earlier this month the Lawrence board of trustees approved an operating budget for the 1970-71 school year totaling $6,617,165. This budget, which includes the operations of the various revenue-producing functions of the University, such as dormitories, food service, and Union, exceeds the current year’s budget by $970,000 or about 15.3 percent.

A larger number of students, paying the higher comprehensive fee for 1970-71, will generate nearly $580,000 of the revenue needed for this increase. The larger number of students reflects the opening of the London Study Center and the increasing participation of Lawrence students in various ACM programs.

A budgeted increase of nearly 13 percent of endowment income is in the result of a new policy adopted by the Board of Trustees relative to the distribution of income on certain portions of the University’s endowment.

Decreases in income are anticipated from government grants and what is named "other sources" because of the completion of the COIP Grant Program in Science and because of an anticipated shortage of cash available for short-term investments.

Nearly half of the increase in anticipated expenditures is in the instructional category. Much of this is made up of the addition of five faculty positions and faculty salaries increasing averaging 4 percent. Other increases represent rising costs of goods and services and increases for the non-professional University employees.

Two new expense categories are introduced in the 1970-71 educational budget. The first is a more substantial contingency account which, as budgeted, represents one percent of the total, and a shifting of a portion of the de-registration obligation of the University from the auxiliary enterprises budget to the general educational budget.

The student aid expenditure budget of $883,029 represents a 16 percent increase.

Business Manager Marvin O. Westland, in commenting on the budget, stated: "When the specific departmental requests are received a few weeks from now, there will probably be some shifting of funds within the major expense categories to reflect the actual needs of the department. It will also become apparent at that time that, despite the anticipated increase in total budget, we will be far short of having sufficient funds to meet all the needs which will be identified."

"The budget, as presently structured, reflects a continuation of past emphasis at Lawrence to instructional cost, particularly to faculty salaries."

Come and See Our
WIND ON THE WORLD
BOB'S BARBER SHOP
Open Monday and Friday Nights 'till 8 p.m.
Clossed all day Saturday
Third Floor Zoelka Building
Call 4-6300
Rainbow Coalition Spur A Huff of Hollow Rhetoric

JON S. ISAACS

It all happened so fast our heads were swimming in the "urban symposium" — one of those events one cannot know what we were getting into. What with Bobby Lee's inflammatory "People, Power Structure, and the New Left" and what's it doing to the "urban symposium," we're a bit dazed and a little discombobulated. The debate was not "moderate" in style, no in our opinion. It was a debate of "revolution" and not just "reform" for the hell of it. Clark Rennie Davis' verbal argument. "Power to the People," Rennie Davis' argument. "Power to the People," Clark Kinston's, "right on," or just a plain old "white liberal" voice that we don't all agree with, or believe. One knows, though, that the "Power to the People" structure has hopped upon in recent months and then, as if to ordain and verify their many of fact, to ordry "Mother firk." Look here — my boy. The Rainbow Coalition spokesmen just flip their programs: both of them believing in the "Power to the People" structure is intricate.

I've never lived in a black ghetto, nor have I ever been bitten by a rat, and I may have a "revolution of the people, or just plain old "white liberal" voice that we don't all agree with, or believe. One knows, though, that the "Power to the People" structure has hopped upon in recent months and then, as if to ordain and verify their many of fact, to ordry "Mother firk." Look here — my boy. The Rainbow Coalition spokesmen just flip their programs: both of them believing in the "Power to the People" structure is intricate.

I've never lived in a black ghetto, nor have I ever been bitten by a rat, and I may have a "revolution of the people, or just plain old "white liberal" voice that we don't all agree with, or believe. One knows, though, that the "Power to the People" structure has hopped upon in recent months and then, as if to ordain and verify their many of fact, to ordry "Mother firk." Look here — my boy. The Rainbow Coalition spokesmen just flip their programs: both of them believing in the "Power to the People" structure is intricate.

I've never lived in a black ghetto, nor have I ever been bitten by a rat, and I may have a "revolution of the people, or just plain old "white liberal" voice that we don't all agree with, or believe. One knows, though, that the "Power to the People" structure has hopped upon in recent months and then, as if to ordain and verify their many of fact, to ordry "Mother firk." Look here — my boy. The Rainbow Coalition spokesmen just flip their programs: both of them believing in the "Power to the People" structure is intricate.

I've never lived in a black ghetto, nor have I ever been bitten by a rat, and I may have a "revolution of the people, or just plain old "white liberal" voice that we don't all agree with, or believe. One knows, though, that the "Power to the People" structure has hopped upon in recent months and then, as if to ordain and verify their many of fact, to ordry "Mother firk." Look here — my boy. The Rainbow Coalition spokesmen just flip their programs: both of them believing in the "Power to the People" structure is intricate.
How does one “sell” a university? How, for example, does one gain the attention and the identification of an identity for Lawrence? To a great extent this is the challenge Facing Judy Dixon Hebbe, two of the alumni and assistant director of publications. The Alumni, distributed gratis to all Lawrence alumni, is a culmination of selected news on events about the alma mater, information about birth, deaths, marriages, and news on noteworthy information about graduates.

Having recently shifted the Alumni from a quarterly magazine to a newspaper format published weekly, Mrs. Hebbe explained that the change involved creating a more direct form of news delivery as well as minimizing the schizophemia involved in combining class information and straight news.

Judy Dixon Hebbe also plans to put out an annual magazine edition of major events and will develop more developments can be done into more depth. The magazine produced under Mrs. Hebbe’s auspices include: portrait of class and campaign groups, prospective and students and the Cultural Calendar, a listing of upcoming events.

In addition, Tretin and Mrs. Hebbe worked closely with prospective campaigns. Mrs. Hebbe, for example, the typical approach taken in launching a newsletter — in this case to the Art Series Chamber Music. “It involves, in a form, announcing events, setting deadlines, supplies of available brochures, notices to reason ticket to be purchased, and so on.”

Another function of the alumni office is in working with depart­ments. It involves such things as the setting of deadlines for the alumni office is thus related to every facet of the Lawrence community in that it is a public it works to address the needs of the public. Mrs. Hebbe is available for information on the techniques possible to reach this public.

The role of the alumni office at present, Mrs. Hebbe explained, is that they are “the publicists and the reference” for everything on campus as far as the public is concerned, insuring in the sense that the public usually waits and reacts to information disseminated by the alumni office.

However, Mrs. Hebbe hopes that their function in the future will become less and less institutional and more of a reference.

One problem in presenting Lawrence accurately to the public is that there is in Lawrence a more wide range of programs than the other kind of people they are. Therefore the involvement of minority groups present a problem for the University to individuals in areas not as well as outside of the Midwest. He explained that more use is being made of mass media in establishing the Lawrence catalog and would serve to give the prospective student a clear and realistic picture of what the University contains as the “true responsibility” to be the editor and prospective students. Mrs. Hebbe explained that it is “our responsibility to catch prospective with where we deal to clarify our hope for further development.

When asked what he personally would describe as the institutional and at Lawrence, Tretin said the primary goal is to graduate students who have a high level of competence in a single area of concentration, be it an academic major or a non-academic interest. The student must develop flexible problem-solving abilities.

Tretin characterized the Lawrence image presented by the ad­missions office as focusing on academic quality of education. Tretin explained that “the whole purpose of the magazine is to project the Lawrence image to prospective students. He feels that the magazine is a better job of representing Lawrence than the other kind of people they are trying to attract to the University. Lawrence is not always well known, especially outside of the Midwest.

Another problem concerning the admissions department is that it is the admissions department to the future at the University. He feels that prospective students must know in academic terms what is available in them and what will be expected of them, and not necessarily what kind of people they will be when they leave Lawrence.

The general approach used in dealing with prospective students is to inform, and not to attempt the “hard-sell.” The idea, according to Tretin, is to prevent a true picture of the University as a community where students, faculty, and administrators are the primary purpose of the institution. They are involved in the high qual­ity of the faculty, and the ability of physical facilities to sup­port high academic aims. The off-campus programs are in a strong selling point, since there is a wide variety of programs for which most students are eligible.

He added that a student can benefit from the off-campus experiences of others even if they do not participate himself.
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Cagers Split Weekend Contests, Face Coe, Beloit On Home Court

Viking Wrestlers Win Fourth Match

Saturday, rookie Coach Bill Baird's grapplers were their fourth straight match, beating Ripon 12-11. Standards for the Vikes were Freshman Mike Frentzmann at 132, picking up his 1-5 record. Jim Dyer with the fastest pin of the day, over highly regarded Dempsey from Macalester. Joe Patterson opened his Vikin career with a 6-5 decision over Hoffman and Sophomore Doug Gilbert decisioned Henry Dingemanns 5-4. Chad Cummings opened career with a 6-5 decision against a team that was

The outstanding individual defensive performance was turned in by Scot Warden whose 6-2 over teammate that was physically bigger. Rick Farmen at 6-7 was pinned against 6'7' Meyer of St. Olaf. Axed by the missing out of guards Dyer and Frodemon, Meyers was held to 21 points.

The outstanding individual defensive performance was turned in by Scot Warden whose 6-2 over teammate that was physically bigger. Rick Farmen at 6-7 was pinned against 6'7' Meyer of St. Olaf. Axed by the missing out of guards Dyer and Frodemon, Meyers was held to 21 points.

The second half provided more of the same tight defensive ball. St. Olaf cautiously popped in a short jumper from the line and Dyer swooped in for a lay-up to give the Vikes the lead at 66-65 with :45 left. Mark Frodemon put in a clutch free throw to make it 67-65. But the Vikes still had 41 seconds to tie the game. As the clock ticked down they tried to feed it into their best shooter Finholt.

But the Vike defense was up to the task as it harassed the "6'8" forward so he could not shot. One of St. Olaf's guards finally put up a shot which banked off the iron and out of bounds to give the Vikes possession with 5 seconds left. The Oles had another chance after a missed free throw but could only manage a desperation bank that missed the apparrel completely.

Offensive plaudits go to Karl Hickerson. Scot Warden and Jim Dyer who amassed 29 of the Vikes' 32 second half points. But the victory, which was the first conference loss for the Oles in 3 years, had to be attributed to the team defensive play as a whole. In those last four minutes the Oles could manage only one basket and three points.

Leading scorer for the game was Dyer with 27 counters. He followed by Jim Dyer who amassed 29 of the Vikes' 32 second half points. But the victory, which was the first conference loss for the Oles in 3 years, had to be attributed to the team defensive play as a whole. In those last four minutes the Oles could manage only one basket and three points.
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