Student Senate Passes Resolution Barring Discrimination By Greeks

By SCOTT LEWIS

October 17 marked another glorious session of the campus dynamo, the Student Senate. Action was taken on the resolu­tion of fraternity-sorority discrimination, formal precepting of open dorms, and the calendar. Prior to the meeting the Ex­ecutive Board passed out a re­vised resolution on fraternity-sor­ority discrimination. Whereas the old resolution asked for a December 15, 1967 deadline as the starting date for university rec­ognition withdrawal from any fraternity or sorority practicing discriminatory policies in char­ter or ritual, the new one did the same except to push the deadline ahead to September, 1968. It re­commended the Faculty and IFC resolutions on discrimination which were then read.

Faculty Resolution

The Faculty resolution, first of all, complimented the efforts of the fraternities and sororities which had been trying to remove discriminatory policies. It further report­ed that “significant advances” were evident in the trend toward eliminat­ing discrimination on the na­tional level, in fraternities and sororities. However, since there are reportedly still complic­ations, it recommended that a committee “appointed by the Trustees” be established to de­termine whether there is any discrimination and, if so, to be em­powered to promulgate rules eliminat­ing the guilty Greek groups as of September, 1968.

The Interfraternity Council res­olution is very similar and states the same. It called for a September 30, 1968 dead­line and recommended the dead­line to the Committee on Student Affairs to be extended.

A discussion of the resolution followed. Bud Walsh championed the faction against the new “hy­pocritical” resolution in a pre­pared statement. He felt that only three courses were taken to do so and de­manded that the right to discrimi­nation and self-scrutiny be taken so that whatever was then passing the test to the faculty.

Defends First Course

He naturally defended the first course because as he correct­ly pointed out: “By what per­verse logic does this body arrive at the notion . . . that short-term immorality is anything other than immorality?” In conclusion, he asked “how is allowing discrimination to exist until September, 1968 any better than allowing it to exist forever?” He demanded that discrimination be rubbed out now and not from three years from now.

Jim Burton, in order to clear up general confusion, inspired as he was to “withdraw respectually” actually means. Craig Harris noted that the university faculty, wishes its invitation for the fraternity or sorority to join the campus. Without student approval, the national fraternity or sorority cannot become a local chapter. Kenneth R. Ven­tress, Dean of Men, who leaves the local chapter the year of, be­coming unaffiliated with the national and becoming a local fraternity, was an­noyed about such a course.

University Position?

Jim Snodgrass wondered what position the university would take if the local chapter elim­inated discriminatory policies, and, as a consequence, get in trouble with the national. Ven­tress thought the university would probably stand behind the local chapter.

Bruce Born asked the most obvious question and one every­body had been waiting to be answered: why the “6 deadline” and not ‘72? Bur­cher said that it sometimes takes two national conventions for each fraternity or sorority to settle issues as pressing as, for example, dis­crimination. It was felt that, as every chapter is respons­ible to the national, it is neces­sary for the national to elimi­nate any discrimination clauses, although the local chapter itself may be as usual the case where the law is not violated.

Dave Chambers proposed an amendment to the Student Sen­ate resolution that the Senate re­fuse to seat a representative of any group that discriminates as of December, 1966 in order that this year’s pledge class would be affected in some way.

Resolution Passed

The Chambers amendment was ruled out of order because, refusing to seat representatives, the Senate is legislating on a matter concerning the national obligations of this group and this is unconstitutional.

After fifty minutes of discus­sion, the Senate, in a voice vote, passed the resolution that the Senate refuse to seat a representa­tive of any group that discriminates as of December, 1966 in order that this year’s pledge class would be affected in some way.
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New SDS Campus Chapter Organizes, Elects Officers

The newly-formed Lawrence chapter of Students for a Demo­cratic Society met Tuesday to elect officers and ratify a resolution concerning discrimination in Lawrence fra­ternity and sorority chapters.

The IFC committee, however, was unaware that the faculty pre­sented a similar resolution to the Board of Trustees.
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Resolution Passed
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Kennedy to Speak

In Chapel Thursday

Senator Edward Kennedy of Massachusetts will visit Appleton this Thursday and speak in the Memorial Chapel.

The Chapel is open to the pub­lic and a ticket is not required for admission.

At 900 the tentative time for his speech was 1:30 P.M. Part of Senator Kennedy’s address will be on behalf of Wisconsin’s demo­cratic gubernatorial candidate Patrick J. Lucey, who campaigned for the senator in 1968. His ad­ditional topic was unknown at the time of the Lawrence’s dead­line.

Kennedy’s address will last about one hour. The amount of time he will have to shuck and speak with individuals will be determined by the length of his speech.
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IFC Delivers Pledge Plan

A committee established last April by the Interfraternity Council has adopted a resolution concerning discrimination in Lawrence fra­ternity and sorority chapters.

According to Dick Culbertson, chairman of the committee, the resolution states that “no group may be denied the right to pledge whenever it desires for racial or religious reasons.” It is proposed that the resolution go to the national. A group that practices discrimination would have its University recogni­tion withdrawn.

Originally proposed by Bob Bletzinger, president of DTV, and Chip Taggart of Phi Delta Theta, the resolutions is expected to be discussed and possibly voted upon by the national council October 27.

During the summer the mem­bers received statements from the home presidents pertaining to dis­crimination, and it was there the faculty a resolution to initiate action.

The resolution, however, was unavailing as the faculty pref­erred to have an election on the Board of Trustees.
Platforms for Student-Faculty Judicial Board

Dan LeMahieu (Senator)

The functions of the All-School Judicial Board (J-Board) have come under some criticism in recent weeks and the new student magazine "The responsibility for supervising stu­dents' conduct in off-campus areas. Violations of dietary regulations on the part of men students are handled by the re­spective living units, while those of women students, by the Ju­dicial Board of the Lawrence Wom­en's Association. Violation of the Academic Honor Code is handled by the Honor Council. All other offenses come under the jurisdiction of the Student-Fac­ulty Board or of the deans.

A student charged with violating a university rule may choose to have his case judged either by a dean or by the judicial board. In recent years, students have not appealed their cases to the J-Board simply because it has a record of being "just" but not "fair." Student representatives have gone out of their way to interpret correctly the university rules and is the process have for­ gotten that individual cases de­mand individual judgments and that there are circumstances when appeals to the J-Board should be ter­minated because of those circum­stances.

Then, if a student has broken a university regulation, he is not going to appeal his case to a ju­dicial body that, in some sense at least, is subservient to the board.

I am running for the J-Board because I believe it can and should function as a student university judicial procedure. If elected I would like to be both "just" and "fair." DAN LEMAHIEU

Joanna Thornbury

I, Joanna Thornbury, hereby petition to be a member of All School Judicial Board. I would like to be a member of All School Judicial Board because I would like to serve Lawrence in some way—this specific responsibility is the one that interests me, and this specific responsibility is one that I know I can bear well.

The jurisdiction of All School J-Board covers offenses which in­volve an all-school rule, such as drinking on campus or driving at other than prescribed times. Since the amendment that a student who has broken a rule may choose the most serious of these consequences, I find also that I have within me a desire to do something at Lawrence for Lawrence, call it public service. Perhaps I am selfish, but I am running for All School Judicial Board because I want to serve Lawyers in some way—this specific responsibility is the one that interests me.

By way of introduction: Instead of answering the topic for this little essay in an outright fashion, I have decided I would rather spend my space in the Review letting my mind and my self-filter out onto the page of this column.

For the present year, I am here with you, and I am trying to serve on this board by serving the student body, not in opposition to it. Therefore, if elected, I would like to be a member of the J-Board. I propose to evaluate the case at hand with respect to its own merits and without personal bias.

Rob K. Wallace

Jean Gurney

Louie Cornelius

Louie Cornelius

In the course of the year, the J-Board is at the mercy, fortunately, of potential members. For example, I expect that a number of my classmates will be more and more interested in and concerned with the rules and the practical aspects of their interpretation and applica­tion. Self-examination of the recent non-use of the body, the J-Board is at the mercy, fortunately, of potential members. For example, I expect that a number of my classmates will be more and more interested in and concerned with the rules and the practical aspects of their interpretation and application. Assessments of the effectiveness, and it will ren­der greater significance and sounder judgment to the Board's decisions. LOUIE CORNELIUS

Marcia Wilson (Junior)

I, Marcia Wilson, hereby submit my petition and platform for All School Judicial Board. My reason for petitioning is quite simple. I expect to become more and more interested in the rules and the practical aspects of their interpretation and application. I am here with you, and I feel I have within me a desire to do something at Lawrence for Lawrence, call it public service. Perhaps I am selfish, but I am running for All School Judicial Board because I want to serve Lawrence in some way—this specific responsibility is the one that interests me. LOUIE CORNELIUS

The major function of a judicial board is to hear cases involving possible violations of the rules and to determine two things: One, there has not been a clear-cut case of action, if any, should be taken. A student has the choice of bring­ing his case before either his professor or before his house or to continue in his class. The decision of a dean may be appealed to the Board, and if the Board is of the view that the case should be further considered, it is then appealed to the All School Judicial Board. If the student has committed a violation, J-Board must interpret the rules to some extent and apply them in a reasonable and somewhat flexible manner. I go66ing to say that each case should be judged on its own merits without favor or bias.
Admissions Director Wall Plans for Student Diversity

By NANCY L. KAPLAN

Edward B. Wall, Lawrence's new Admissions Director, outlined the dynamic admissions policy which may dramatically change the character of the University in a recent interview with the student body. Lawrence, in order to find a more admired and motivated freshman class, the admissions staff is prepared "to go find it—from the very best to the worst schools."

Three Types Sought

Specifically Wall describes three types of individuals which Lawrence will try to attract to Lawrence. In the near future. In addition to the top performers Lawrence is always happy to have, the "really bright, aggressive, excited, talented" student is being sought. Wall cites this kind of student as the one who tends to set the pace and "make a place more interesting."

A second category Wall feels strongly about filling is that of the culturally disadvantaged student. By "culturally disadvantaged" Wall means the student who "is long on brain, short on economic resource."

Wall sees this as a loss of invaluable assistance. He has already received a 90 percent positive response from alumni this year. The requests he makes of them are to secure help from alumni. Wall welcomes help in many forms, and has a long-range plan which he hopes will enlist the support of interested alumni.

The new procedure will encompass the services of interested alumni because Wall feels that alumni in the past have been restrained from contributing to the admissions process, and he sees this as a loss of invaluable assistance.

Four Years Needed

Wall thinks Lawrence will be able to go about the recruiting of the new approach to admissions in four years. In Wall's freshman class arrives. The real meaning of the Wall says that at the beginning of the year he asked department heads, administrators and Pres. Duren Tarr what they want to see in the student body and the overall response was in accord with his promise. But, he adds, anything that is new to a school "may not be completely popular in all circles. " He continues that his approach involves "attracting the necks out."

Plan Not Original

Wall is well aware that his approach is not original in college admissions, but he sees that it is new to Lawrence. He rationalizes: "I have a wonderful opportunity to direct what I feel very strongly about and I love my work."

Wall graduated from Phillips Academy in 1952, where Dean Broderick taught him senior history, and he received his B.A. in English from Yale in 1956. He served three and a half years in the Marine Corps and then returned to Esopus as Admissions Officer for five years. Broderick and Wall ate in the same dining hall at Esopus for four of those years and during this time they exchanged many ideas about admissions. Broderick and Meyrowitz, Jr., a Lawrence trustee, met while at Esopus, can be credited with encouraging Wall to accept his present post at Lawrence.

Wall has been married for four years and has two children. He spent last year as Assistant Director of Admissions at Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, where he had intended to do graduate work in education until the offer came from Lawrence.

EDWARD B. WALL

new policy will net, of course, be tried feel until a full four year cycle has turned. In summary of the description of his office's aims, Wall emphasizes the difference between the "well-rounded students," which is his entire type effect, and the "well-rounded student body," which consists of varied individuals contributing to a diversified whole.
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I have already said that even during its current non-use I think J-Board justifies its existence because it is a court. It is my feeling that students should not be prosecuted for a rule unless serious effort has been made to inform them exactly what the rule is. If J-Board does not do this itself, it should make sure that it does get done.

As a counselor and Student Senate representative, I have increasingly committed myself to involvement with student affairs. I wish to extend this commitment now by becoming a representative to J-Board.

I, Marcia Zahn, present my platform for consideration as a candidate for the Junior woman representative to the All School Judicial Board. As a counselor and Student Senate representative, I have increasingly committed myself to involvement with student affairs. I wish to extend this commitment now by becoming a representative to J-Board.

J-Board promotes a close relationship between the students and the faculty and administration and I am willing to do all I can to perpetuate this relationship, a vital one to guarding the freedom of every student.

The recent "non-use" of J-Board has resulted because students usually wish to express their cases to as few people as possible, i.e., to the dean instead of to J-Board. In addition to this, 2) J-Board members do not wish to be judged by their peers, and 3) J-Board has jurisdiction over far too many issues.

I believe that J-Board could function more effectively and the students would derive greater benefit from it if it were an ap­pellate court for reference of cases having already been con­sidered by the living units, house councils, or LWA.

J-Board would still be responsible for seeing the cases handled properly which it sees referred to it from the other judi­ciary bodies.

I ask you to let me prove that I am willing to work hard to be a conscientious and objective representative to J-Board. Thank you.

MARCIA ZAHN

JANE PAULSON

I, Jane Paulson, do hereby submit my platform for the Sophomore representative on the Student-Faculty Judicial Board. Thomas Paine, that apt and fiery American patriot, stated in "The American Crisis": Those who expect to reap the blessings of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of supporting it.

I support the Student-Faculty (All School) J-Board. I believe it is a strong and vital organization considered by the living units, house councils, or LWA. The purpose and effectiveness of J-Board has been questioned by those who cite its recent non-use. (Last year J-Board met twice on cases dealing with men.) Since a major purpose of J-Board is to act as a watchful guardian against changing procedures of wrongs I feel that this at­tack is not wisely justified.

However, as a member of J-Board, I would suggest that J-Board become an appellate court for LWA and men's governing bodies of living units—thus broadening the jurisdiction of J-Board without dealing originally with minor infractions.

I, Mark Catron, as a sophomore candidate for All-School Judicial Board, would here like to present my platform before the student body.

I, Jane Paulson, do hereby submit my platform for the Sophomore representative on the Student-Faculty Judicial Board. Thomas Paine, that apt and fiery American patriot, stated in "The American Crisis": Those who expect to reap the blessings of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of supporting it.

I support the Student-Faculty (All School) J-Board. I believe it is a strong and vital organization which guards not only the freedom of the individual student, but the freedom of the whole Law­rence community. I am eager to work for and within the J-Board in order that its entire ef­fectiveness be realized.

Since the goal of a liberal arts college is the development and en­couragement of the individual's talents and interests, students and their teachers must relate to the school, as well as to each other, as a community. Composed of the Dean of Lawrence and Downer colleges, five faculty members and five stu­dents, J-Board represents a ranging of total interests. J-Board deals with social in}
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1. Marcia Zahn, present my platform for consideration as a candidate for the Junior woman representative to the All School Judicial Board. As a counselor and Student Senate representative, I have increasingly committed myself to involvement with student affairs. I wish to extend this commitment now by becoming a representative to J-Board.

J-Board promotes a close relationship between the students and the faculty and administration and I am willing to do all I can to perpetuate this relationship, a vital one to guarding the freedom of every student.

The recent "non-use" of J-Board has resulted because students usually wish to express their cases to as few people as possible, i.e., to the dean instead of to J-Board. In addition to this, 2) J-Board members do not wish to be judged by their peers, and 3) J-Board has jurisdiction over far too many issues.

I believe that J-Board could function more effectively and the students would derive greater benefit from it if it were an appellate court for reference of cases having already been considered by the living units, house councils, or LWA.

J-Board would still be responsible for seeing the cases handled properly which it sees referred to it from the other judiciary bodies.

I ask you to let me prove that I am willing to work hard to be a conscientious and objective representative to J-Board. Thank you.

MARCIA ZAHN

MARCIA WILSON

JUNIOR

1. Marcia Zahn, present my platform for consideration as a candidate for the Junior woman representative to the All School Judicial Board. As a counselor and Student Senate representative, I have increasingly committed myself to involvement with student affairs. I wish to extend this commitment now by becoming a representative to J-Board.

J-Board promotes a close relationship between the students and the faculty and administration and I am willing to do all I can to perpetuate this relationship, a vital one to guarding the freedom of every student.

The recent "non-use" of J-Board has resulted because students usually wish to express their cases to as few people as possible, i.e., to the dean instead of to J-Board. In addition to this, 2) J-Board members do not wish to be judged by their peers, and 3) J-Board has jurisdiction over far too many issues.

I believe that J-Board could function more effectively and the students would derive greater benefit from it if it were an appellate court for reference of cases having already been considered by the living units, house councils, or LWA.

J-Board would still be responsible for seeing the cases handled properly which it sees referred to it from the other judiciary bodies.

I ask you to let me prove that I am willing to work hard to be a conscientious and objective representative to J-Board. Thank you.

MARCIA ZAHN

JUNE PAULSON

Sophomore

I, Jane Paulson, do hereby submit my platform for the Sophomore representative on the Student-Faculty Judicial Board. Thomas Paine, that apt and fiery American patriot, stated in "The American Crisis": Those who expect to reap the blessings of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of supporting it.

I support the Student-Faculty (All School) J-Board. I believe it is a strong and vital organization considered by the living units, house councils, or LWA. The purpose and effectiveness of J-Board has been questioned by those who cite its recent non-use. (Last year J-Board met twice on cases dealing with men.) Since a major purpose of J-Board is to act as a watchful guardian against changing procedures of wrongs I feel that this attack is not wisely justified.

However, as a member of J-Board, I would suggest that J-Board become an appellate court for LWA and men's governing bodies of living units—thus broadening the jurisdiction of J-Board without dealing originally with minor infractions.

I, Jane Paulson, do hereby submit my platform for the Sophomore representative on the Student-Faculty Judicial Board. Thomas Paine, that apt and fiery American patriot, stated in "The American Crisis": Those who expect to reap the blessings of freedom must, like men, undergo the fatigue of supporting it.

I support the Student-Faculty (All School) J-Board. I believe it is a strong and vital organization which guards not only the freedom of the individual student, but the freedom of the whole Lawrence community. I am eager to work for and within the J-Board in order that its entire effectiveness be realized.

Since the goal of a liberal arts college is the development and encouragement of the individual's talents and interests, students and their teachers must relate to the school, as well as to each other, as a community. Composed of the Dean of the Lawrence and Downer colleges, five faculty members and five students, J-Board represents a ranging of total interests. J-Board deals with social in
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Six Characters' Reveals Comedy Intermixed with Tragic Properties

By NEIL HILLER

Tragedy is "sad" and gives insight. Comedy is "happy" and gives insight. Both poles are "sad" and give insight. The tragedy can be sad and give insight; the comedy can be sad and give insight. The tragedy can be "happy" and give insight; the comedy can be "happy" and give insight. Both poles are "happy" and give insight. The tragedy can be "happy" and give insight; the comedy can be "happy" and give insight. Both poles are "happy" and give insight.

"Six Characters" is a sad and gives insight. Comedy is "happy" and gives insight. Both poles are "happy" and give insight. The tragedy can be "happy" and give insight; the comedy can be "happy" and give insight. The tragedy can be "happy" and give insight; the comedy can be "happy" and give insight. Both poles are "happy" and give insight.

The time is coming for us to choose which road to take. Either the administrative policy must assume that students still believe in important things; that truth, beauty, and knowledge are worth pursuing; or the administration and students must define the values that exist at Lawrence.

The frustration of constructive plans and the sudden rise of sports reveal the hypocritical philosophy that now undermines the goals of a Lawrence education.

Because of this immoderate devotion to athletics, some students on campus are forgotten. Last year students requested that the administration examine the need to retain the Lawrence campus forever? Does not the beautiful football stadium in comparison to the uninviting student Union show a disregard of most students' interests? Does not the excessive amount of money spent on unneeded athletic equipment mock the frugal architectural dictates whose remains will plague the Lawrence campus forever?
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The frustration of constructive plans and the sudden rise of sports reveal the hypocritical philosophy that now undermines the goals of a Lawrence education.

The time is coming for us to choose which road to take. Either the administrative policy must assume that students still believe in important things; that truth, beauty, and knowledge are worth pursuing; or the administration and students must define the values that exist at Lawrence.
Lawrence has been a good school too long. It is time to improve. The admissions office is moving in the right direction; they don't select students from all parts of the country and from many different backgrounds.

The students would come to Lawrence not because we have the best stadium, the best outdoor track or the newest laboratory equipment; they will come to a top, but in spite of such things. Moreover, once we acquire such students, they will be difficult to keep. Therefore, the student will soon come to realize that Lawrence is a place where he cannot read in the catalog.

We will find a system of rules and regulations on depriving him of all forms of privacy. He will find his room can be searched at any time by members of the administration or staff. He will find his every action known to the administration.

He will find a dean of women unable to pull her head out of the sand and realize students no longer need a Mother. He will find a dormitory system which forces students to leave their rooms at 10:30 p.m., whereas women can stay out but one hour longer than their four-year-younger classmates. He will find himself protected from life.

He will find a student services personnel who are not familiar with his situation and fail to take him to be a person to be treated as such.

He will find a dormitory system where students are assigned to rooms without regard to their own or their roommates' wishes.

He will find the outmoded and sometimes unnecessary old programs of courses of study.

He will find a registrar's office that does not make the right payments for his courses of study.

He will find a dean of students who is not able to face the changing problems we now have.

We are a group of students, staff, faculty and trustees who believe that Lawrence is a good school, and we want it to continue to be a good school. Therefore, we propose to improve it, and we will give a number of our suggestions and ideas in this paper next week.

we welcome you to come in and browse around.

161.

The conference program, Rosebush points out, is "an educational one," and has nothing to do with requesting money. Lawrence Future Discussed

Recent Graduates Invited

The schedule includes Alumni Weekend discussions on the future of the school and an "Education Conference" for Lawrence alumni who are interested in the future of the school. The purpose of the conference is to "enable students and alumni to share ideas." Dean Frederick.

The conference is scheduled for this weekend primarily because it will be concurrent with the coincidence which will enable alumni and trustees to share ideas.

people has been invited to participate in the conference. They have been chosen on the basis of demonstrated active interest in Lawrence, faculty comments on the coincidence will enable the conference to proceed.

the program on Tuesday, Nov. 8.

Barbara Ownbey presented a talk on mathematics contest, and Barbara Ownbey will present a talk on "The Cartan Function." Lawrence Mathematics Conference" for Lawrence alumni who are interested in the future of the school. The purpose of the conference, according to John M. Rosenfeld, Director of Alumni and Development, is to "help Lawrence alumni see what Lawrence is about today and what it will be about in the future.

The conference, Rosebush said, is a "Leadership Forum of Lawrence's youth" and the conference "will be host to its first "Leadership Forum.""
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people has been invited to participate in the conference. They have been chosen on the basis of demonstrated active interest in Lawrence, faculty comments on the coincidence will enable the conference to proceed.

the program on Tuesday, Nov. 8.

Barbara Ownbey presented a talk on mathematics contest, and Barbara Ownbey will present a talk on "The Cartan Function." Lawrence Mathematics Conference" for Lawrence alumni who are interested in the future of the school. The purpose of the conference, according to John M. Rosenfeld, Director of Alumni and Development, is to "help Lawrence alumni see what Lawrence is about today and what it will be about in the future.

The conference is scheduled for this weekend primarily because it will be concurrent with the coincidence which will enable alumni and trustees to share ideas.
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Harriers Outdistance Two, Prepare to Challenge Ripon

The Lawrence cross-country team ended its season record at those wins and three defeats by defeating Knox and Lakehead Colleges in a triangular meet last Saturday, which was to be the Vikings’ first victory at home since the cross-country course was lengthened to four miles two years ago.

The Lawrence harriers established themselves firmly in command of the race by the end of the first mile and coasted the rest of the way with runners holding six of the first seven positions.

By the end of the second mile Knox harrier Robin Auld had pulled away from Viking co-captain Steve Sedgwick to pave his way to a winning 21:51 time. However, an impressively long line of blue followed Auld across the finish line as Sedgwick, Wayne Draeger, co-captain John Howe, Bill Giese, Mark Leonas and Vern Wiltse swept the next six places. Jon Huntman rounded out the Lawrence effort in eleventh place.

The performance gave the Vikes an impressive margin of victory: 48 points to 16 for Lakehead and 43 for Knox. Coach Gene Davey expressed great satisfaction with his team’s times on the raw, chilly day.

The varsity victory came on the heels of two impressive freshman performances on the preceding Wednesday.

Graff Garrett and Tony Vaughn won Conference College’s three-mile course in Milwaukee in 17:19 and 17:45, respectively, to take the first two places. However, the hosts came across with the next four to deal the fresh a 58-41 defeat.

The fresh and varsity will meet Ripon at 12:30 p.m. today at Whiting Field for the Homecoming run.

This is Ross Kennedy of Balboa Island, California, on an in-port field trip as a student aboard Chapman College’s floating campus.

The note he passed to make as fellow students went ahead to inspect Hasheneye’s Tomb to the Valley of the Kings near Luxor, he used to complete an assignment for his Comparative World Cultures professor.

Russ transferred the 12 units earned during the study-travel semester at sea to his record at the University of California at Irvine where he continues studies toward a teaching career in life sciences.
Betas Lead Quad Football; Phi Dels In Close Second

The conclusion of the first half of the IF football season did not take place without pressure and prevented an effective passing attack. Miles Turner and Bob Uhe turned in good performances for the Sig Eps.

Betas Lead Quad Football; Phi Delts In Close Second

Crowd at the Homecoming game and requests parents to meet for Homecoming.

The conclusion of the first half of the IF football season saw the Betas holding first place with a 5-0 record, the Phi Delts in second with a 4-1, and the Fijis third with three wins and two losses. On Thursday night the Betas and the Fijis faced off in a battle of two teams with the same record, and the Delts took the win. In what figured to be a tough fight for second the Betas looked at the opportunity for a key interception by Bob Thomas, Pete Schindel and Pat Kenney to put the game out of reach. The FJI offense was not able to get moving while Brian Beck teamed with Kenney and Steve Glenn for the Phi Delts scores.

In the Beta-Sig Ep game John Cheney intercepted two passes that put up some points for the Betas. Dick Ellott fired strikes to Kirk Wykle, Jeff Clark and John Scaler. A hard rush by Pete House, Kit Vernon and Art Keppler kept the Betas gaining yard lines. There will be 1,600 unreserved seats on the East stands. There will be 1,600 unreserved seats on the East stands. The Betas credit an improved defense for almost catching the high scoring Phis by surprise.

VIKE HALFBACK Dick Witte (32) is stopped by a trio of Knox defense men in last Saturday's game; Lawrence defeated Knox 21 to 0 for their fourth straight victory. Law- v meets Ripon today in the Homecoming game which will possibly press the game.

With a record of 4-1 on last week’s predictions (I still think Grinnell should have won), I’ll try again this week... 

Deltas Defeat Taus
The Taus put up a good fight before losing to the Delta. Dick Briden sparked the win with two touchdowns to Terry Miller and Jeff Clark, whom Coach Ron Roberts has called “the best in the state.” Two of the game’s scores were recorded on passes from Valery Bleeck to Jeff Clark and John Courtright. Delts played a good game for the Delta as did Chuck McKeen and Dave Strong for the Taus.

The soccer team, with one game of experience, outplayed, out-maneuvered, and outscored the Beloit club. 5-4. The soccer team was a completely different looking team than the one that played Ripon last week. The majority of the players was from the back field of the building. The center field of the building was much better defended. The Beloit team on offense most of the game was Chuck Merckle maneauvered Lawrence’s first score and his own two point conversion. Merckle covered the soccer field through the goalie’s hands into the net. The Beloit goalie was only able to catch up with the ball far out in the goalie’s reach as it slid by him into the corner of the goal mouth. This was the final score of the game giving Lawrence 5 and Beloit 4.

The game has also been billed as the contest between the two top scorers in the league. Lawrence’s Steve Figi, who has rushed 87 times for 383 yards, a 4.4 average. Lawrence has scored in the Lawrence Bowl this season. Looking at the game Lawrence’s Coach Ron Roberts has said: “there is no doubt in my mind we can win. I think the winner of this game will go all the way to the title.”

The Taus earned a 5-4 win in Tense Match

The soccer team, with one game of experience, outplayed, out-maneuvered, and outscored the Beloit club 5-4. The soccer team was a completely different looking team than the one that played Ripon last week. The majority of the players was from the back field of the building. The center field of the building was much better defended. The Beloit team on offense most of the game was Chuck Merckle maneauvered Lawrence’s first score and his own two point conversion. Merckle covered the soccer field through the goalie’s hands into the net. The Beloit goalie was only able to catch up with the ball far out in the goalie’s reach as it slid by him into the corner of the goal mouth. This was the final score of the game giving Lawrence 5 and Beloit 4.

John Cheney intercepted two passes that put up some points for the Betas. Dick Ellott fired strikes to Kirk Wykle, Jeff Clark and John Scaler. A hard rush by Pete House, Kit Vernon and Art Keppler kept the Betas gaining yard lines. There will be 1,600 unreserved seats on the East stands. The Betas credit an improved defense for almost catching the high scoring Phis by surprise.

In the Beta-Sig Ep game John Cheney intercepted two passes that put up some points for the Betas. Dick Ellott fired strikes to Kirk Wykle, Jeff Clark and John Scaler. A hard rush by Pete House, Kit Vernon and Art Keppler kept the Betas gaining yard lines. There will be 1,600 unreserved seats on the East stands. The Betas credit an improved defense for almost catching the high scoring Phis by surprise.

In the final game of the week the Fijis defeated the Sig Ep 36-7. The tough FJI defense allowed only one TD and Harry Tatum and Emerick got credit for a safety. Fijis took over at quarterback and Kevin Ginter, Dave Strong and Palfrey Brown held the top on the game.

FOOTBALL SEATING

The Taus and the Betas offered up a lot of points as well as an exciting game. The Taus and the Betas offered up a lot of points as well as an exciting game. The Taus and the Betas offered up a lot of points as well as an exciting game. The Taus and the Betas offered up a lot of points as well as an exciting game.
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